

PEC – korespondensi

Paper has been received

Kotak Masuk x



Problems of Education in the 21st Century
<problemsofeducation@gmail.com>

Min, 8 Sep 2019
14.44

kepada saya

Terjemahkan pesan
Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris
Dear author (s),

Your paper is received. Thank you very much that you are interested in publishing of your paper in the PEC Journal. You will be informed accordingly.

Sincerely yours,

V. Lamanaukas, Editor

|

--

Scientia Socialis, Ltd. a member of [Lithuanian Publishers Association](#) & [Crossref](#) in cooperation with Scientific Methodical Centre "Scientia Educologica", Lithuania; The Associated Member of Lithuanian Scientific Society, European Society for the History of Science (ESHS) and ICASE.
Donelaicio Street 29, LT-78115 Siauliai, Lithuania
<http://www.scientiasocialis.lt/pec/>
E-mail: problemsofeducation@gmail.com
Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/ScientiaEducologica>

Problems of Education in the 21st Century
<problemsofeducation@gmail.com>

25 Okt 2019
23.59

kepada saya

Terjemahkan pesan
Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris
Dear author (s),

We send to you your manuscript with some remarks after the review process. Please make all corrections asap, but not later than **10 November 2019**. If you want to reject this paper please inform us asap. Your explanation / rebuttal letter should be added (Each comment from the referee, the author (s) should explicitly state whether they made a requested change or, if not, they must explain their reasons in detail).

Sincerely yours,

Editor



- **jailani** <jailani@uny.ac.id> 28 Okt 2019 14.50

kepada Problems

Dear Editors of PEC,

Thank you for the information.

We will revise base on editors' input.

Best regards,

Jailani



- **jailani** <jailani@uny.ac.id> 8 Nov 2019 16.21

kepada Problems

Dear Edtors of PEC,

We are still revising our article. Frankly speaking, we need more time, cause we are sending the article to proofreader. Would you mind to give us extension days (about 5 days) to revise our article? If you agree, we will send our revision in November 15, 2019.

Looking forward to hearing from you about this.

Thank you very much

Best regards

Jailani



- **jailani** <jailani@uny.ac.id> 12 Nov 2019 10.35

kepada Problems

Dear Editor of PEC,

We have finished the revision.

In attachment, we send the clean article and the article with many comments from reviewers and authors.

Thank you very much for all.

Best regards

Jailani

Problems of Education in the 21st Century
<problemsofeducation@gmail.com>

12 Nov 2019
17.03

kepada saya

Terjemahkan pesan

Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris

Dear author,

It was received. You will be informed accordingly.

Sincerely,

Editor



Problems of Education in the 21st Century
<problemsofeducation@gmail.com>

17 Nov 2019
15.45

kepada saya

Terjemahkan pesan
Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris
Dear author (s),

We send to you your manuscript with some remarks after the re-review process. Please make all corrections asap, but not later than **28 November 2019**. If you want to reject this paper please inform us asap. Your explanation / rebuttal letter should be added (Each comment from the referee, the author (s) should explicitly state whether they made a requested change or, if not, they must explain their reasons in detail).

Sincerely yours,

Editor



- **jailani** <jailani@uny.ac.id> 29 Nov 2019 08.49

kepada Problems

Dear Editor of PEC,
In attachment, we sent an article revision, in clean and with comments.

Thanks for all,

Best Regards,
Jailani



Problems of Education in the 21st Century
<problemsofeducation@gmail.com>

29 Nov 2019
14.57

kepada saya

Terjemahkan pesan
Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris
Dear author (s),

We want to inform you that your manuscript after the minor editorial changes was accepted for publication in the international scientific periodical journal "*Problems of Education in the 21st Century*" (ISSN 1822-7864 /print/, ISSN 2538-7111 /online/).

According to the decision of the board of our centre author (s) need to pay a partial article processing charge. It was announced in the call. You can find more detailed information on our website:

<http://www.scientiasocialis.lt/pec/?q=node/14>

In your case the total sum is:

Publication – **350** EUR (22 pages + shipping expenses & add.proof-reading)

(Excluding bank taxes/charges; it is a responsibility of payer. These charges are different from country to country and from bank to bank. Please ask at the bank how much the charge amount is in your case BEFORE to do the transfer).

P.S. If you want to get extra copy (-ies), please add 10 EUR for each copy to the indicated sum above + 5 EUR shipping expenses for each additional copy.

Payment options

1 option – via bank transfer.

Payee (or beneficiary): **Scientia Socialis, UAB**

Identification number: **302614473**,

VAT: LT100006097614

Institution address: Donelaicio Street 29, Siauliai, Lithuania

Beneficiary Bank name: „SWEDBANK“ AB

Beneficiary Bank address: Konstitucijos Street 20A, Vilnius, Lithuania.

SWIFT/BIC: HABALT22;

IBAN: **LT077300010126557188**

P.S. Payment must be made by bank transfer (remittance), but not by cheque (directly to the bank account). All bank taxes for transferring (transaction) of payment is the responsibility of payer.

2 option – via PayPal system

To: scientia@scientiasocialis.lt

<https://www.paypal.com/>

10 EUR should be added to the total amount as a transfer fee (it is valid only in the case of PayPal).

3 option – via Western Union system.

To Eglė Lamanuskaitė-Plukienė, LT-78115 Siauliai, Lithuania

We kindly ask you to make a transfer not later than **05 December 2019**. You need to indicate in bank transfer **ID** and/or **VAT** number of your institution if the payer is an institution; it is important for an invoice). Please do not forget to inform us about transfer.

P.S. All bank taxes for transferring (transaction) of payment is the responsibility of payer.

Thank you for cooperation

Editor

29/November/2019

KOMENTAR REVIEWER

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND PROCESS

191018.pec_RD-upo_review

Abstract

One of warm issue in education is literacy proficiency. The literacy proficiency development is one of concern in education generally, so is in mathematics education as well. This research aims to describe the growth of junior high school students' mathematical literacy proficiency in Yogyakarta Special Region, Indonesia. Three mathematical literacy proficiency aspects were examined in the study, namely content, process and context. It was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. The population was junior high school students ranging between 13 and 16 years old were selected using the combination of stratified and the cluster random sampling technique. A test consisting of 30 items, were adopted from the existing PISA test items, used to collect the data in the study. The main data analysis was conducted by estimating students' ability through the item-response theory approach. The results showed that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in Yogyakarta based on content, context, and process, still low. Some strategies that can be taken to improve mathematical literacy proficiency of the student discussed.

Key words: mathematics, literacy proficiency, content, context, process.

Introduction

Advances in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have introduced new changes and challenges. Education is expected to prepare individuals with skills to deal with these changes and challenges. Many countries have realized the need to equip their young generation through education with multiple competencies. One of the students' competences that become an international issue is literacy proficiency. Literacy is one of the main competencies that learners need to face the challenges of the 21st Century (Drew, 2012). The traditional definition of literacy is ability to read and write a short simple statement about individual's life (Krasny, 2013, p. 14). Today, literacy does not only evolve into being able to read, but also about being intellectual, and knowing how to research and solve complex problems. Therefore, literacy is fundamental for individuals to be able to participate in society and achieve their goals in work and life (Unesco, 2015, pp. 136–137). In addition, literacy also has an effect on all cognitive domains (Matute et al., 2012, p. 124).

Literacy proficiency has been assessed in Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) that initiated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The objectives of PISA are to assess the students' knowledge and skills in the real world and to prepare them with the long-life learning and the community participation (Stacey, 2011, p. 105). The result from PISA might be used by the government to, for example, monitor the educational system (Retnawati & Wulandari, 2019; Stacey, 2011). There are three aspects of literacy proficiency that are measured namely reading literacy, mathematical literacy, and scientific literacy proficiency (OECD PISA, 2013).

Mathematical literacy is related to the individual proficiency in formulating, identifying, understanding and implementing the mathematical foundations in multiple contexts that an individual needs in daily life (Ojose, 2011, p. 90). It is also needed to verify the solutions to

Commented [Reviewer1]: Incorrect expression

Commented [Reviewer2]: ???

Commented [Reviewer3]: Past simple

Commented [Reviewer4]: Lower-secondary

Commented [Reviewer5]: Research

Commented [Reviewer6]: So0me implications should be mentioned

So boring abstract

I suggest that the abstract is written in the following format:

[Background] How does the research relate to teaching and learning or education in general?

[Reason for research] What was the rationale/aims of the research

[Research Design] Mention the research design and paradigm

[Data collection] Data gathering tools

[Findings/Results] Short Summary of the main results

[Conclusions] Briefly indicate the implications of the results

Commented [Reviewer7]: Note that a keyword does not have to be made of only one word!

Proposed Keywords are not appropriate

problems that have been created (Hillman, 2014). Mathematical literacy important for students' competency to read, write, and speak about mathematics (Casey, 2013; Hillman, 2014). The result of many studies showed that mathematical literacy affected by some factors, e.g. school-level characteristics (Chowa, Masa, Ramos, & Ansong, 2015), and teachers' behaviors (Magen-Nagar, 2016, pp. 318–319) which are related to the implementation of learning in the classroom. Another factor arising from the students themselves, includes mathematics interest and self-concept (Uysal, 2015, p. 1670), grade level, gender (Magen-Nagar, 2016, p. 318), time allocated for learning mathematics (Savaş, Taş, & Duru, 2010, p. 113), learning facility at home (Türkan, Üner, & Alcı, 2015, p. 359), as well as the economic, social and cultural status (Stacey, 2011).

The mathematical literacy proficiency involves some aspect of mathematical thinking including reasoning, modelling, making connections between idea (NCCA, 2012, p. 8), mathematical concept, mathematical procedure, and mathematical fact. These aspects are central in explaining and predicting a phenomenon by emphasizing the competencies of process, content and context (OECD, 2006, p. 12). The domain of the content to assess include the Change and Relationship (CR), Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD). The next domain is the context, that related to Personal (PER), Occupational (OCCP), Societal (SOC), and Scientific (SC). The process competencies in the mathematical literacy proficiency are to formulate (FRM), employ (EMP), and interpret (INT).

In order to measure the context competencies, a researcher should implement several types of test items. According to Shiel, Perkins, Close, & Oldham (2007), the test item designs for the PISA assessment format are the traditional multiple-choice items, the complex multiple-choice items, the closed-constructed response items, the short-response items and the open-constructed response items." After the students' responses, have been analyzed, the individual capacity classified into 7 levels, starting from below Level 1 to Level 6. The descriptions of students' capacity at each level using the PISA classification.

Based on the results of a study conducted by PISA from 2000 to 2015, it was found that only few students were able to reach level 4 or above. Meanwhile, most of them still below level 2. Some parties claimed that the low level of students' literacy proficiency showed the failure of education system organized by the Indonesian government. Teachers are considered still not successful in training student literacy. But another consider that the results of the PISA study cannot be used as a basis to justify the quality of education in a country. The things that are debated are related to sampling, context, and differences in curriculum in each country.

Although the correlation between the results of the PISA and the quality of education is still debated, the results of PISA provide an overview of the growth mathematical literacy proficiency of students in Indonesia in recent years. However, PISA results have not been able to explain the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in various regions in Indonesia. This is due to the diversity of conditions in each region, such as school facilities and infrastructure, socio-cultural conditions of the community, and teacher competencies. In addition, students' mathematical abilities which also influence the literacy proficiency of students in each region are very diverse. One of the benchmarks can be seen from the results of the national examination (Balitbang Kemdikbud RI, 2014, 2015).

Problem of Research

Compare with all regions participating in the national examination in Indonesia, Yogyakarta was one of the regions that has achieved national exams above the national average. However, the results of the national exam have not been able to provide an overview of the students' mathematical literacy skills in the Yogyakarta. Further studies are needed to determine students' mathematical literacy skills in the Special Region of Yogyakarta.

Commented [Reviewer8]: Number?

Commented [Reviewer9]: Vague explanation of the research problem

Commented [Reviewer10]: WHAT IS THE ISSUE/CONCERN WHICH THIS RESEARCH ADDRESSES?

This then leads to the RQs
Where are the research questions?

Research Focus

The purpose of this study is to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of students in junior high school in Yogyakarta Special Region, Indonesia, based on the aspects of literacy content, process and context.

Commented [Reviewer11]: Research

Commented [Reviewer12]: was

Commented [Reviewer13]: only?

Commented [Reviewer14]: I would like to see the needs and the significance of the research. Even though the research is replete with dozens of the related studies, I have not seen any clear argument about these issues. Please synthesize earlier studies to emerge the needs, significance and originality of the research.

Commented [Reviewer15]: Research Methodology

- Past tense to describe what was done. It may be past active or past passive.
- Present tense for presenting diagrams and figures

Research Methodology

Research Design

The study was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. Within the study, the researcher would like to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in 8th, 9th and 10th grade, especially trend in content, context, and process literacy. This study was conducted with the stages of preparing instrument by adapting items released PISA, proving the validity and estimating reliability, conduct tests to students, estimating the ability of students in the content, context, and process of mathematical literacy using the international items parameters, then presents the results of the analysis to describe the trend of development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency.

Commented [Reviewer16]: Research scope? Time?

Commented [Reviewer17]: What about gender?

Population and Sample

The population of the study was junior and high school students, the students ranging between 13 and 15 in the junior high schools and the students ranging between 15 and 16 years old in the senior high school within Yogyakarta Special Region in Indonesia. A combination of the stratified random sampling technique and the cluster random sampling technique was used to select the study participants. The sample comprised the 8th and 9th grade students from the junior high school degree and the 10th grade students from the senior high school degree in Yogyakarta Special Region. These students came from three different levels of schools, namely the high-performance, the moderate-performance and the low-performance category. This categorization was made based on the scores in the Mathematics National Examination. A total of 1,001 students participated in the study. 155 students were in their 8th grade (13-14 years old), 386 students were in their 9th grade (14-15 years old), and 460 students were in their 10th grade (15-16 years old).

Commented [Reviewer18]: What size?

Commented [Reviewer19]: How do you know this?

Commented [Reviewer20]: Why? Why not 1,002? From what population? I do not see any sample size calculations and underpinning

Commented [Reviewer21]: What ethical procedures were followed?

Data Gathering

A test consisting of 30 items was used to collect the data in the study. The test items were adopted from the existing PISA test items, i.e. PISA 2003, 2007 and 2011. These items were translated into Bahasa Indonesia and the contexts were also adjusted to correspond Indonesian contexts. These test items were developed and validated by Wulandari (Jailani, Retnawati, Musfiqi, & Wulandari, 2015). The validity of the test instrument was examined through the content validity that was conducted in order to identify the relevance and the representativeness of the instrument toward the domain under assessment. It involved consulting the test instrument to experts (professional judgments) in relation to the domains of content and context and the domain of process in the PISA test-item model. The domain of test item content includes the four contents (QNT, CR, SS, and UD). The content validity was also examined to identify the coverage and the relevance of the test items to the domain of context (PER, SSC, OCCP, and SSC), and the domain of process (FRM, EMP, and INT). The experts also provided feedbacks regarding the material truth, the composition of substances in each domain, the test item readability and the relevance between the test item context and the students in Indonesia.

Commented [Reviewer22]: I think the test should be presented as an appendix

Commented [Reviewer23]: Research

Cronbach's α was used as a measure of the reliability of the test that took the form of essay or multiple choices with the dichotomous data. The index of reliability was .707 and the SEM was equal to 2.81. The Cronbach's α indicated the internal consistency at this level is considered reasonably high. Based on the SEM score, the researchers would like to imply that if the PISA test item model had been administered again then the score that the students would attain would be from $XT - 2.81$ until $XT + 2.81$.

Data Analysis

To analyze the growth of literacy proficiency, the students' ability was estimated first by implementing the Rasch model in the unidimensional item response theory. The estimation was conducted utilize the item parameters that had been equalized into the international study test item with the concordance model for the linking score. The concordance was conducted by implementing the Mean and Mean Method. The researcher subsequently interpreted the inter-year ability literacy proficiency, especially in content, context, and process literacy.

Table 1. Adjustment of Ability Parameter Into the PISA International Parameter

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Study	This Study		
Content	CR	0.935	-0.7005	1.6355	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.6355$
	QNT	0.2599	0.4768	-0.2169	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.2169$
	SS	-0.3173	-0.1807	-0.1367	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.1367$
	UD	-0.7122	-1.04188	0.3297	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3297$
Context	OCCP	-0.6751	-1.7395	1.0644	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.0644$
	PER	0.5334	0.3713	0.16214	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.1621$
	SC	1.441	-0.5215	1.9625	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.9625$
	SOC	-1.3221	-0.3367	-0.9855	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.9855$
Process	EMP	-0.86963	-0.92664	0.0570	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.0570$
	FRM	0.036693	-0.298	0.3347	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3347$
	INT	0.9454	0.4944	0.451	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.451$

The steps of data analysis were as follows: (1) Estimating the item parameters and the ability parameters by operating the Rasch model proposed by Masters (2010). It was applied to both the students' response from the multiple-choice test items and the dichotomous and polytomous constructed response test item. CONQUEST program was used in the analysis (Wu, Adams, & Wilson, 1997) with the calibration concurrent model for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; (2) Adjusting the test item parameters to the international test item parameters by means of Mean and Mean method (Hambleton & Swaminathan, 1985). It was conducted until the researcher attained the adjustment of the item parameter to the international scale for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade. The results of the adjustment is presented in Table 1; (3) Implementing the modification equation from the second step in order to adjust the capacity parameter for each class; (4) performing a descriptive analysis in order to present the growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; and (5) categorizing the participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model for each classroom by using the results of participants' capacity estimation.

The participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model, the student ability scale should be transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100. The results of the transformation were then categorized into 7 levels that consisted of Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, Level 5 and Level 6 in accordance to the Technical Report (OECD, 2016). Based on the results of transformation and the

categorization of literacy proficiency, the researcher subsequently monitored the development trend. The growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency described by referring to the standard and by considering the aspect of literacy content, context and process.

Research Results

Literacy Based on the Content

Fig. 1 shows that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the 10th grade students was better than that of the 8th and 9th grade students', particularly for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. This finding shows that there was an improvement on the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. On the contrary, the 8th grade students had the highest mathematical literacy proficiency in comparison to the 9th and 10th grade students for the content of CR. This finding shows that there was a decreasing on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of CR.




Fig. 1. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Content

The growth of the students' mathematical literacy in the low school level improved among the 8th, 9th and 10th grade for the content of QNT, SS, UD (Fig. 2). However, the highest score in CR was obtained by the 8th grade students. The growth of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the moderate school tended to be unstable which implied the increasing and the decreasing proficiency in accordance with the students' grade. For example, as having been displayed in the Fig. 2, the literacy proficiency for the content of QNT is increasing from the 8th grade to the 9th grade but is decreasing from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. Similar result is obtained for the content of algebra. In the meantime, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the high school level had improved along with the students' grade for all of the PISA contents that had been administered.

Commented [Reviewer24]: Research Results
 · Past tense for results obtained
 · Present tense to refer to figures, tables and graphs

Commented [Reviewer25]: Figure
 Start a chapter by some sentence, not by figure

Commented [Reviewer26]: Past simple

Commented [Reviewer27]: Should be reworked by sing different texture




Fig. 2. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Content and School Level

Overall, there was an improvement on the students' mathematical literacy in the 9th and 10th grade in accordance with the school level. The higher the school level was, the higher the mathematical literacy proficiency that the students attained. However, for the 8th grade students, the moderate school level attained the highest score in comparison to the high school level. The possible reason was that the 8th grade was the most prominent.

Commented [Reviewer28]: Poor...should be reworked




Fig. 3. The Mathematical Literacy on the Content of QNT

Fig. 3 shows that there was an improvement of the students' mathematical literacy and that the students achieved Level 1 to Level 6 for the content of QNT. There were 10% of the 9th and 10th grade students who had been able to achieve the Level 6. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT was low because most of the students only achieved the following category: Below Level 1 – Level 3. Furthermore, there were only 20% students who achieved Level 4 – Level 6.

Commented [Reviewer29]: Should be reworked




Fig. 4. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the Content of CR

According to the students' grade, the mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of a CR tended to be unstable (Fig. 4). However, there were many 8th grade students who were in the "Level 6" category compared to the 9th and 10th grade students. This result might be due to the fact that the learning material of algebra is taught to students in their 8th grade.




Fig. 5. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the Content of SS

Another content that became the focus of assessment in the mathematical literacy proficiency was the content of SS. Fig. 5 showed that, overall, the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in their 8th grade, 9th grade, and 10th improved along with the grade level. However, in general, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of SS still low because most of the students achieved the below level 1–level 3 category. Fig. 6 showed that the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of UD from the 8th grade students until the 10th grade students improved.

Commented [Reviewer30]: It is written: percentage. So, the symbol is not needed




Fig. 6. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the Content of UD

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

The contexts that had been implemented in the mathematical literacy proficiency were in accordance with the standards that had been implemented in the PISA and the contexts included the use of Mathematics in the personal life (PER), social life (SOC), occupation (OCC), and science (SC). Being adjusted to the level of ability that became the standards of PISA, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also differentiated for each domain.

Based on the Fig. 7, the researchers found that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved in all contexts. The improvement was in accordance with the students' grade level. The findings showed that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region improved in accordance to the grade level.




Fig. 7. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

However, in this case the 8th and the 9th grade students achieved the highest score for the SC context while the 10th grade students achieved the highest score for the OCC context. Meanwhile, for the PER and SOC context, the students achieved lower score than the other two contexts (OCC, and SC). The reason was that the PER and SOC context test items were designed under the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (the third domain of process) and under the indicator of drawing the conclusion on the mathematical results toward the contextual problems and of evaluating and providing logical reasons or arguments toward the mathematical results that had been attained. In addition, the students' ability in this domain of process was lower than their ability in the other two domains of process (FRM, and EMP).

Fig. 8 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the low, moderate, and the high school level increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade for the OCC and SC context. On the contrary, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER and SOC context in the low and moderate school level decreased from the 8th to the 9th grade but increased from the 9th to the 10th grade. Then, for all contexts the students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. In general, the 9th and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased for all contexts in the low, moderate and high-level schools. The reason was that the model level school that had been sampled was the best moderate level school.




Fig. 8. Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context and the School Level

Subsequently, the researchers display the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on each context (Fig. 9). The growth of grade 8 to 10 students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the OCC context. The OCC context was related to the students' life in the school or in the working environment. For the OCC context in the 8th grade, the percentage showed that the 8th grade students' ability in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region was equally distributed from the "Below Level 1" category until the "Level 5" category for the OCC context; most of the students were in "Below Level 1" category and there were very few students or there were almost none of the students who were in "Level 6" category.




Fig. 9. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the OCC Context

The result showed in Fig. 9 suggests that the improvement between the 9th grade and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency was almost similar. The number of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category were very few or near 0% and there were less than 5% of the students who were in "Level 1" category. The number of the 10th grade students who were in "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category was higher than that of the 9th grade students. The Fig. showed that based on the OCC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level.

The domain of PER context had direct relationship to the students' daily activities. In the daily activities, the students definitely encountered the personal problems that demanded immediate solutions. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 10th grade students is displayed in Fig. 10.




Fig. 10. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the PER Context

Fig. 10 shows that for the PER context 30% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Below Level 1” category and 10% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Level 1” category. In addition, still based on the above Fig. it was apparent that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of the 9th and the 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2,” “Level 3,” “Level 4” and “Level 5” category. However, in overall the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER context was still low because more than 70% of the students were still in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories and around 20% of the students were in “Level 4,” “Level 5” and “Level 6” categories.




Fig. 11. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the SOC Context

The SOC context was related to the use of mathematical knowledge in the SOC life and the wider neighborhood in the daily life. Fig. 11 show that the growth of the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency based on the SOC context. Fig. 11 suggests that for the SOC context, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 were in “Below Level 1” category. The percentage above showed that based on the SOC context the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of 9th grade and 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” and “Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2” category and above. However, in general the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the SOC context was still low since most of the students were in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories.

The SC context was related to the scientific activities that more abstract and that demanded theoretical mastery and understanding in performing the mathematical problem solution (see Fig. 12). For the SC context, Fig. 12 shows that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students’ grade level. In addition, for the SC context more than 50% of the students in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region achieved the “Level 4,” the “Level 5” and the “Level 6” category.




Fig. 12. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the SC Context

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The students' literacy proficiency is best viewed in the domain of mathematical process that described the students' ability in associating the context of problem solving in mathematics. With respect to the three domains of process that became the standard of PISA, they are FRM, EMP, and INT (OECD, 2006). The students' literacy proficiency was also classified into each domain of process. The mapping of the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the domain of process is presented in Fig. 13.




Fig. 13. Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

Fig. 13 shows that for the domain of process in formulating the mathematical situations (formulate) the mathematical literacy proficiency of 8th, 9th and 10th grade of the students improved along with their grade level. Similar finding was also found in the domain of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning (EMP), and interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (INT). However, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than that in the process of formulating the mathematical situations. Similarly, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest compared to the other two domains of process. These findings implied that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical situations. However, there were also some students who might formulate the mathematical situations but they were not able to solve the mathematical situations by employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and

reasoning. The students might also interpret, implement and evaluate the mathematical situations appropriately if they were able to formulate the mathematical situations and to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately.




Fig. 14. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Process and School Level

In addition to the domain of process, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy observed by the school level (i.e., low, moderate and high). The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the domain of process in each school level is presented in Fig. 14. The result in Fig. 14 showed that the growth of the 8th grade students had improved from the low-level school to the moderate-level school. However, the growth of the literacy proficiency had decreased in the high-level school in terms of the domain of process. In overall, mathematical literacy proficiency of the students had improved in accordance with the increasing school level and quality.




Fig. 15. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Formulate

Then, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was examined under each domain of process. The first domain was to formulate the mathematical situations (Fig. 15). The second domain was to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade until the 9th grade in the second domain is showed in Fig. 16.




Fig. 16. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Employ

Commented [Reviewer31]: ????

These findings showed that there had been improvement of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in accordance with their grade level. The improvement can be seen in the decreasing percentage of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and the increasing percentage of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Subsequently, the researchers examined the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the third domain of process, namely to formulate, to employ, and to interpret the mathematical results. In the Fig. 17 presents the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students' in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region on the third domain of process.




Fig. 17. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Formulate, Employ, and Interpret

Commented [Reviewer32]: Incorrect

The percentage showed that there was improvement on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade although there were few students in "Level 5" and "Level 6". There was a decrease in the number of grade 9 students and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and there had been increasing number of students who were in "Level 4," "Level 5" and "Level 6" category.

Commented [Reviewer33]: I do not see any statistical analysis.

Discussion

The results of **this study** showed that there was an improvement of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and to the 10th grade. The higher the grade was, the higher the students' achievement in the score of mathematical literacy proficiency. These results indicate that the achievement level of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also influenced by the grade. However, the influence of age or year level was not significant. This result is in accordance with the work of Magen-Nagar (2016, p. 318); Jabor, Machtmes,

Commented [Reviewer34]: Discussion
 · Present tense to explain significance of results
 · Past tense to summarize findings, with present tense to interpret results

Kungu, Buntat, & Nordin (2011), and also Thoren, Heinig, & Brunner (2016) who found that age and school level influenced the students' literacy proficiency. The reason is that the mathematics learning material learned by students in grades 9 and 10 as stated in the standards of the Indonesian curriculum is more comprehensive than students in grades 8 did. As a result, the higher the students' grade was the more learning materials that supported the improvement of mathematical literacy would be.

In relation to the content of mathematical literacy there was a tendency that the literacy within the content of CR and UD had been higher in comparison to the content of QNT and the content of SS. Although students' literacy in numbers, geometry, increased from grade 8 to 10, the students' ability was still in the low category. It can be seen from the small number of students in level 4 to 6. In numbers, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 could not achieve level 4. All students in year 8 could not even achieve level 2. This result shows that students in grade 8 found difficulties in formulating information in most of the test items. They could only answer questions related to geometry and numbers that have been clearly defined. The students' low ability in the geometrical content indicated their low ability in spatial skills. This is in line with previous studies, e.g. Hannafin, Truxaw, Vermillion, & Liu (2008), and Novak & Tassell (2017) that spatial abilities are directly related to mathematics ability, particularly in geometry.

The student's literacy in algebra was not significantly different among students in their year 8 to 10. This is supported by the finding from previous research by Eze, Ezenwafor, & Obi (2015, p. 99); Josiah & Adejoke (2014, p. 475) that students age is not a significant correlate of students' algebra skills. However, student's literacy in algebra is better than their literacy in numbers, geometry and uncertainty dan statistics. Most of the students' scores were above 669 (level 6). The possible reason is that algebra has been taught to students in year 8 than those in year 9 and 10.

Concerning achievement related to the process of formulating mathematical situations, of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning and of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results, there was an improvement in the students' mathematical literacy from the 8th to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. Meanwhile, in overall the students' ability in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than their ability in the process of formulating the mathematical solutions. Similarly, the students' score of mathematical literacy in the process of interpreting, employing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest one in comparison to their scores in the other two domains of process. These findings showed that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical problems. The students' low ability in the domain of interpreting shows that they were not able to infer, apply, and evaluate problem solutions. Similarly, Tambychik & Meerah (2010, p. 150) also found that students found difficulties during making meaningful connection in the problem. Furthermore, Jupri, Drijvers, & Heuvel-Panhuizen (2014, p. 51) also found that students experienced difficulties in solving problems due to their inability in applying the reverse strategy (Jupri et al., 2014, p. 51) as a step in verifying solutions to the problems before they come to a conclusion.

For the achievement related to the context, the students' score of mathematical literacy in PER and SOC context was lower than their scores in the OCC and the SC context. The reason was that within the learning process, the mathematics learning materials directed the students to understand and to master the knowledge as a preparation to pursue higher level education or to find a job. As a result, PER and SOC context had rarely been implemented in the mathematics learning materials. This made the students' find difficulties in answering questions that used the contexts. This is in line with the studies by Abdullah, Abidin, & Ali (2015, p. 140), and Aoyama (2007, p. 308) when they had to answer questions related to contexts that they have not learned before. This result suggests the need to integrate various contexts in the learning and teaching process.

The students' achievement score in mathematical literacy was influenced by the school level. There was correlation between the scores in mathematical literacy and achievement in national examination. The higher the school performance in national exams, the higher the achievements of its students of the school in mathematical literacy ability. The good input of the students, learning process and learning achievement were usually had by school that had high achievement. These findings had been in accordance with the finding from Bohlmann & Pretorius (2008), and also Chowa et al. (2015) that school-level characteristics affect academic achievement. There were many factors influenced the students' mathematical literacy ability, the score in the content of CR and of UD was higher than that in the content of QNT and of SS both based on the school grade and the school level. The possible factor was the material contents in every grade. The content of CR and of uncertainty dominated the contents in the 8th grade and the 9th grade in Indonesian Curriculum.

Conclusions and Implications

The research result showed that the students' mathematical literacy was unsatisfying. It should be get attention from government, teacher, and researcher. The mathematics teaching quality, including process of planning, implementing and assessing learning outcomes should support the students' mathematical literacy proficiency. Although the quality of schools affects students' ability (Chowa et al., 2015), but the quality of teaching is most important key to improve the students' achievement in mathematical literacy (Retnawati, Djidu, Kartianom, Apino, & Anazifa, 2018). The improvement toward the learning quality and the learning assessment can be pursued through the integration of the literacy into the mathematics learning process (Hillman, 2014, p. 403), and also to the other subjects. Besides that, mathematics education programs should be developed to help students be able to make real life connections (Djidu & Retnawati, 2018; Yavuz, İlgün Dibek, & Yalçın, 2017). Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the strategies that can be used to train the students' mathematical literacy, and also the development of teaching sets to teach mathematical literacy.

References

- Abdullah, A. H., Abidin, N. L. Z., & Ali, M. (2015). Analysis of students' errors in solving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) problems for the topic of fraction. *Asian Social Science*, 11(21), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n21p133>.
- Aoyama, K. (2007). Mathematics Education. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 298–318). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92048-8>
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2014). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2014. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2014). Report of National Examination 2014] [Software].
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2015). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2015. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2015). Report of National Examination 2015] [Software].
- Bohlmann, C., & Pretorius, E. (2008). Relationships between mathematics and literacy: exploring some underlying factors. *Pythagoras*, 67(June), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.4102/pythagoras.v0i67.73>
- Casey, G. (2013). Interdisciplinary Literacy Through Social Media in the Mathematics Classroom: An Action Research Study. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.216>
- Chowa, G. A. N., Masa, R. D., Ramos, Y., & Ansong, D. (2015). How do student and school characteristics influence youth academic achievement in Ghana? a hierarchical linear modeling of Ghana youths' baseline data. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.09.009>

Commented [Reviewer36]: Incorrect. Should be reworked and reinforced. No any references in this part. It is not a discussion
is there something new in this final conclusion? Such general proposals need to be detailed by solving concrete problems in real situations. In other words, there are enough facts about the existence of well-known problems – today we need practical steps forward within solving of these problems.

It must be clear what is the added value of this research to previous reports. It is obligatory to make sure that reported new information is significant for international level readers of PEC.

- Djidu, H., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Cultural values-integrated mathematical learning model to develop HOTS and character values. In E. Retnowati, A. Ghufron, Marzuki, Kasiyan, A. C. Pierawan, & Ashadi (Eds.), *Character Education for 21st Century Global Citizens* (pp. 363–370). Yogyakarta: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315104188-46>
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the common core state standards: preparing students for 21st-century literacy-now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(4), 321–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00145>
- Eze, T. I., Ezenwafor, J. I., & Obi, M. N. (2015). Effects of age and gender on academic achievement of vocational and technical education (VTE) students of a nigerian university. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 6(1), 96–101. Retrieved from [http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects of Age and Gender new.pdf](http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects%20of%20Age%20and%20Gender%20new.pdf)
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory*. Boston, MA: Kluwer Inc.
- Hannafin, R. D., Truxaw, M. P., Vermillion, J. R., & Liu, Y. (2008). Effects of spatial ability and instructional program on geometry achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 101(3), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.101.3.148-157>
- Hillman, A. M. (2014). A Literature review on disciplinary literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.256>
- Jabor, M. K., Machtmes, K., Kungu, K., Buntat, Y., & Nordin, M. S. (2011). The influence of age and gender on the students' achievement in mathematics. *2011 International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*, 5, 304–308.
- Jailani, Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran berbasis HOTS dan pendidikan karakter. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Josiah, O., & Adejoke, E. O. (2014). Effect of gender, age and mathematics anxiety on college students' achievement in algebra. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(7), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-7-7>
- Jupri, A., Drijvers, P., & Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Van den. (2014). Student difficulties in solving equations from an operational and a structural perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 39–55.
- Krasny, K. A. (2013). *Gender and literacy: a handbook for educators and parents*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, LLC All.
- Magen-Nagar, N. (2016). The effects of learning strategies on mathematical literacy: a comparison between lower and higher achieving countries. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(2), 306–321. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1105113&site=ehost-live>
- Masters, G. N. (2010). The partial credit model. In *Handbook of item response theory models* (pp. 109–122). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Matute, E., Montiel, T., Pinto, N., Rosselli, M., Ardila, A., & Zarabozo, D. (2012). Comparing cognitive performance in illiterate and literate children. *International Review of Education*, 58(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-012-9273-9>
- NCCA. (2012). *Project maths: responding to current debate*. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.ncca.ie/en/Publications/Other_Publications/Project-Maths-Responding-to-Current-Debate.pdf
- Novak, E., & Tassell, J. L. (2017). Studying preservice teacher math anxiety and mathematics performance in geometry, word, and non-word problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 54, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.01.005>
- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

The reviewer cannot and must not point out all the errors or necessary corrections. There are a lot of technical problems. All the figures should be reworked.

1.	Is the article original, and does it contribute something new to the field? (Importance of article / Relevance and Appeal to national / international scholarly community)	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
2.	Do the title and abstract together give an adequate summary of the article / paper?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
3.	Statement of problem (s) / aim (s) / objective (s)	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
4.	Theoretical basis / Theoretical framework / Literature review / Clarification of concepts	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
5.	Appropriateness of the research plan and design (if applicable) /Appropriateness of data-collection and procedure /Data analysis /Trustworthiness/ reliability and validity	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
6.	Steps taken to ensure that the research complies with standard ethical guidelines (if applicable)	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
7.	Data presentation / Discussion (Are the results clearly and correctly presented? Are they consistent with the methodology?)	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
8.	To what extent is the line of argumentation in the article clear, cohesive and logical?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
9.	Does the paper satisfy accepted criteria for academic writing in terms of coherence, grammar, layout and organisation?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
10.	Do the references adhere to APA?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
11.	Is the language fluent and precise?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
12.	Conclusions /Implications and/or recommendations are relevant and useful.	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
13.	Is article significantly international in nature to be of value to global audience? /underline/ (Of Local Interest Only) (Of Regional Interest) (Of International Interest)	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
14.	Overall assessment of content	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
15.	Does the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of PEC?	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor

Accept without revision	
Accept with minor revisions	
Accept: with moderate revisions	
Pre-Accept: major revisions and re-evaluation	X
Reject: Rework and re-submit	
Reject: do NOT re-submit	

HASIL REVISI

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND PROCESS

191018.pcc_RD-upo_review

Re-evaluation

Abstract

The literacy proficiency development is one of concern in education generally, so is in mathematics education as well. The growth of literacy proficiency is one of issues in education, cause it is very important to problem solving skills in students' real life. This research aimed to describe the growth of lower secondary school and upper secondary schools students' mathematical literacy proficiency in Yogyakarta Special Region province, Indonesia. Three mathematical literacy proficiency aspects were examined in the research, namely content, process and context. It was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. The population was 1,001 lower secondary school and upper-secondary schools students ranging between 13 and 16 years old were selected using the combination of stratified and the cluster random sampling technique. A test consisting of 30 items, were adopted from the existing PISA test items used to collect the data in the research. The main data analysis was conducted by estimating students' ability through the item-response theory approach. The results showed that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students based on content, context, and process were still low. In the content and context domain, there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500, and in the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Key words: mathematics literacy proficiency development, domains based on PISA study

Introduction

Advances in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have introduced new changes and challenges. Education is expected to prepare individuals with skills to deal with these changes and challenges. Many countries have realized the need to equip their young generation through education with multiple competencies. One of the students' competences that become an international issue is literacy proficiency. Literacy is one of the main competencies that learners need to face the challenges of the 21st Century (Drew, 2012). The traditional definition of literacy is ability to read and write a short simple statement about individual's life (Krasny, 2013, p. 14). Today, literacy does not only evolve into being able to read, but also about being intellectual, and knowing how to research and solve complex problems. Therefore, literacy is fundamental for individuals to be able to participate in society and achieve their goals in work and life (Unesco, 2015, pp. 136–137). In addition, literacy also has an effect on all cognitive domains (Matute et al., 2012, p. 124).

Literacy proficiency has been assessed in Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) that initiated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The objectives of PISA are to assess the students' knowledge and skills in the real world and to prepare them with the long-life learning and the community participation (Stacey, 2011, p. 105). The result from PISA might be used by the government to, for example, monitor the educational system (Retnawati & Wulandari, 2019; Stacey, 2011). There are three aspects of literacy proficiency that are measured namely reading literacy, mathematical literacy, and scientific literacy proficiency (OECD PISA, 2013).

Commented [Reviewer1]: Up to 250 words done

Commented [Reviewer2]: Upper-secondary? done

Commented [Reviewer3]: Incorrect Note that a keyword does not have to be made of only one word!

Proposed Keywords are not appropriate

Google shows 23 600 000 000 results for content .. unsearchable

done

Mathematical literacy is related to the individual proficiency in formulating, identifying, understanding and implementing the mathematical foundations in multiple contexts that an individual needs in daily life (Ojose, 2011, p. 90). It is also needed to verify the solutions to problems that have been created (Hillman, 2014). Mathematical literacy important for students' competency to read, write, and speak about mathematics (Casey, 2013; Hillman, 2014). The result of many studies showed that mathematical literacy affected by some factors, e.g. school-level characteristics (Chowa, Masa, Ramos, & Ansong, 2015), and teachers' behaviors (Magen-Nagar, 2016, pp. 318–319) which are related to the implementation of learning in the classroom. Another factor arising from the students themselves, includes mathematics interest and self-concept (Uysal, 2015, p. 1670), grade level, gender (Magen-Nagar, 2016, p. 318), time allocated for learning mathematics (Savaş, Taş, & Duru, 2010, p. 113), learning facility at home (Türkan, Üner, & Alcı, 2015, p. 359), as well as the economic, social and cultural status (Stacey, 2011).

The mathematical literacy proficiency involves some aspect of mathematical thinking including reasoning, modelling, making connections between idea (NCCA, 2012, p. 8), mathematical concept, mathematical procedure, and mathematical fact. These aspects are central in explaining and predicting a phenomenon by emphasizing the competencies of process, content and context (OECD, 2006). The domain of the content to assess include the Change and Relationship (CR), Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD). The next domain is the context that related to Personal (PER), Occupational (OCCP), Societal (SOC), and Scientific (SC). The process competencies in the mathematical literacy proficiency are to formulate (FRM), employ (EMP), and interpret (INT).

In order to measure the context competencies, a researcher should implement several types of test items. According to Shiel, Perkins, Close, and Oldham (2007), the test item designs for the PISA assessment format are the traditional multiple-choice items, the complex multiple-choice items, the closed-constructed response items, the short-response items and the open-constructed response items." After the students' responses, have been analyzed, the individual capacity classified into 7 levels, starting from below Level 1 to Level 6. The descriptions of students' capacity at each level using the PISA classification.

Problem of Research

Based on the results of a study conducted by PISA from 2000 to 2015, it was found that only few students were able to reach level 4 or above. Meanwhile, most of them still below level 2. Some parties claimed that the low level of students' literacy proficiency showed the failure of education system organized by the government. Teachers are considered still not successful in training student literacy. But another consider that the results of the PISA study cannot be used as a basis to justify the quality of education in a country. The things that are debated are related to sampling, context, and differences in curriculum in each country. Retnawati and Wulandari (2019) described literacy proficiency development, but their research had not explained the development of literacy proficiency based on its domain, namely content, context, and process.

Although the correlation between the results of the PISA and the quality of education is still debated, the results of PISA provide an overview of the growth mathematical literacy proficiency of students in Indonesia in recent years. However, PISA results have not been able to explain the mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on its domain. In addition, students' mathematical abilities which also influence the literacy proficiency of students were very diverse (Balitbang Kemdikbud RI, 2014, 2015).

By utilizing the development of literacy proficiency in detail, educators can find out in which part of students' development that needs to be improved. Likewise, further research can be carried out, in order to ensure optimal proficiency development, for example, support for teaching materials

Commented [Reviewer4]: I do not see any research problem described
What is the problem? Are there any existing solutions? What is its main limitation? And what do you hope to achieve?

Actually, we had written the problem before. We had made many improvements.

and increasing the ability of teachers to practice literacy. Based on these problem, a research to describe the growth of mathematical literacy based on its domain was needed.

Research Focus

The focus of this research was the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency. Based on the PISA international study, the literacy proficiency could be determined in three domains, that were content, process and context (OECD, 2006).

Research Aims

The aims of this research were to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on the domains of literacy, that are content, process and context domain.

Research Questions

The research questions were

1. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on content domain?
2. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on context domain?
3. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on process domain?

Research Methodology

Research Design

The research was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. Within the research, the researcher would like to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in 8th, 9th and 10th grade, especially trend in content, context, and process literacy. This research was conducted with the stages of preparing instrument by adapting items released PISA, proving the validity and estimating reliability, conduct tests to students, estimating the ability of students in the content, context, and process of mathematical literacy using the international items parameters, then presents the results of the analysis to describe the trend of development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in content, context, and process domein. The data colleting conducted in 2015, and data analysis conducted in 2016-2017.

Population and Sample

The population of the research was about 125,000 lower secondary school and secondary school students, the students ranging between 13 and 15 in the lower secondary schools and the students ranging between 15 and 16 years old in the upper secondary school in Yogyakarta Special Region Province in Indonesia. A combination of the stratified random sampling technique and the cluster random sampling technique was used to select the research participants. The sample comprised the 8th and 9th grade students from the lower secondary school and upper secondary school degree and the 10th grade students from the upper secondary school degree degree in Yogyakarta Special Region. These students came from three different levels of schools, namely the high-performance, the moderate-performance and the low-performance category. This categorization was made based on the scores in the Mathematics National Examination. A total of

Commented [Reviewer5]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Commented [Reviewer6]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Commented [Reviewer7]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Commented [Reviewer8]: Previous comment was ignored. Please consistently use these terms. If you select 'research' or "study", you should exploit only one of them rather than interplayed usage. It is preferable to use the term "research"

done

Commented [Reviewer9]: Secondary is one level, usually divided into two stages: lower and upper secondary.

done

Commented [Reviewer10]: Sometimes secondary school, sometimes high school...Confusing at all

done

1,001 students participated in the research, 464 male and 537 female, 155 students were in their 8th grade (13-14 years old), 386 students were in their 9th grade (14-15 years old), and 460 students were in their 10th grade (15-16 years old). The sample size determined by formula to estimate 95% confidence interval for mean of students' literacy proficiency, using error 6.5 and standard deviation 100, and got minimum sample size 909.25.

When data collecting conducted, researchers informed to teachers and students that the test was conducted only for research. All of identities about students, teachers, and school were coded. The results of the test was not used for any decision about students.

Instrument

A test consisting of 30 items was used to collect the data in the research. The test items were adopted from the existing PISA test items, i.e. PISA 2003, 2007 and 2011. These items were translated into Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian language) and the contexts were also adjusted to correspond Indonesian contexts. These test items were developed and validated by Wulandari (Jailani, Retnawati, Musfiqi, & Wulandari, 2015). The validity of the test instrument was examined through the content validity that was conducted in order to identify the relevance and the representativeness of the instrument toward the domain under assessment. It involved consulting the test instrument to experts (professional judgments) in relation to the domains of content and context and the domain of process in the PISA test-item model. The domain of test item content includes the four contents (QNT, CR, SS, and UD). The content validity was also examined to identify the coverage and the relevance of the test items to the domain of context (PER, SSC, OCCP, and SSC), and the domain of process (FRM, EMP, and INT). The experts also provided feedbacks regarding the material truth, the composition of substances in each domain, the test item readability and the relevance between the test item context and the students in Indonesia.

Cronbach's α was used as a measure of the reliability of the test that took the form of essay or multiple choices with the dichotomous data. The index of reliability was .707 and the SEM was equal to 2.81. The Cronbach's α indicated the internal consistency at this level is considered reasonably high. Based on the SEM score, the researchers would like to imply that if the PISA test item model had been administered again then the score that the students would attain would be from $XT - 2.81$ until $XT + 2.81$.

Data Analysis

The students' abilities were estimated first by implementing the Rasch model in the unidimensional item response theory. The abilities were used to analyze the growth of literacy proficiency. The estimation was conducted utilize the item parameters that had been equalized into the international study test item with the concordance model for the linking score. The concordance was conducted by implementing the Mean and Mean Method. The researcher subsequently interpreted the inter-year ability literacy proficiency, especially in content, context, and process literacy.

Commented [Reviewer11]: Never start a sentence with "to"
done

Table 1. Adjustment of Ability Parameter Into the PISA International Parameter

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
Content	CR	0.935	-0.7005	1.6355	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.6355$
	QNT	0.2599	0.4768	-0.2169	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.2169$

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
	SS	-0.3173	-0.1807	-0.1367	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.1367$
	UD	-0.7122	-1.04188	0.3297	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3297$
Context	OCCP	-0.6751	-1.7395	1.0644	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.0644$
	PER	0.5334	0.3713	0.16214	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.1621$
	SC	1.441	-0.5215	1.9625	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.9625$
	SOC	-1.3221	-0.3367	-0.9855	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.9855$
Process	EMP	-0.86963	-0.92664	0.0570	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.0570$
	FRM	0.036693	-0.298	0.3347	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3347$
	INT	0.9454	0.4944	0.451	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.451$

The steps of data analysis were as follows: (1) Estimating the item parameters and the ability parameters by operating the Rasch model proposed by Masters (2010). It was applied to both the students' response from the multiple-choice test items and the dichotomous and polytomous constructed response test item. CONQUEST program was used in the analysis (Wu, Adams, & Wilson, 1997) with the calibration concurrent model for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; (2) Adjusting the test item parameters to the international test item parameters by means of Mean and Mean method (Hambleton & Swaminathan, 1985). It was conducted until the researcher attained the adjustment of the item parameter to the international scale for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade. The results of the adjustment is presented in Table 1; (3) Implementing the modification equation from the second step in order to adjust the capacity parameter for each class; (4) performing a descriptive analysis in order to present the growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; and (5) categorizing the participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model for each classroom by using the results of participants' capacity estimation.

The participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model, the student ability scale should be transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100, minimum 0 and maximum 1,000. The results of the transformation were then categorized into 7 levels that consisted of Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, Level 5 and Level 6 in accordance to the Technical Report (OECD, 2016). Based on the results of transformation and the categorization of literacy proficiency, the researcher subsequently monitored the development trend. The growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency described by referring to the standard and by considering the aspect of literacy content, context and process.

Research Results

Literacy Based on the Content

The mathematical literacy proficiency of the 10th grade students was better than that of the 8th and 9th grade students', particularly for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. The complete result shows in Figure 1. This finding showed that there was an improvement on the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. On the contrary, the 8th grade students had the highest mathematical literacy proficiency in comparison to the 9th and 10th grade students for the content of CR. This finding showed that there was a decreasing on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of CR.

Commented [Reviewer12]: Figure 1.

done




Figure 1. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content

The growth of the students' mathematical literacy in the low school level improved among the 8th, 9th and 10th grade for the content of QNT, SS, UD. This result is shown in Figure 2. However, the highest score in CR was obtained by the 8th grade students. The growth of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the moderate school tended to be unstable which implied the increasing and the decreasing proficiency in accordance with the students' grade. For example the Figure 2 shows that the literacy proficiency for the content of QNT increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade but decreased from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. Similar result was obtained for the quantity content. In the meantime, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the high school level had improved along with the students' grade for all of the PISA contents that had been administered.

Commented [Reviewer13]: In full

done

Commented [Reviewer14]: lowercases

done

Commented [Reviewer15]: I do not see measurement units

We had written in methods
 "transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100, minimum 0 and maximum 1,000"




Figure 2. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content domain, grade and school level

Commented [Reviewer16]: Grammar mistakes in this figure

We changed Fig to Figure
 Class to Grade

Overall, there was an improvement on the students' mathematical literacy in the 9th and 10th grade in accordance with the school level. The higher the school level was, the higher the mathematical literacy proficiency that the students attained. However, for the 8th grade students, the moderate school level attained the highest score in comparison to the high school level. The possible reason was that the 8th grade was the most prominent.




Figure 3. The mathematical literacy on the content of QNT

There was an improvement of the students' mathematical literacy and that the students achieved Level 1 to Level 6 for the content of QNT. There were 10% of the 9th and 10th grade students who had been able to achieve the Level 6. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT was low because most of the students only achieved the following category: Below Level 1 – Level 3. Furthermore, there were only 20% students who achieved Level 4 – Level 6. These results show in **Figure 3**.

Commented [Reviewer17]: Remove symbols %. Indicate at once – percentage on the axis
done




Figure 4. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of CR

Figure 4 shows that based on the students' grade, the mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of a CR tended to be unstable. However, there were many 8th grade students who were in the "Level 6" category compared to the 9th and 10th grade students. This result might be due to the fact that the learning material of CR is taught to students in their 8th grade.




Figure 5. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of SS

Another content that became the focus of assessment in the mathematical literacy proficiency was the content of SS. Figure 5 shows that, overall, the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in their 8th grade, 9th grade, and 10th improved along with the grade level. However, in general, the

Commented [Reviewer18]: The same

done

students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of SS were low because most of the students achieved the below level 1–level 3 category. Figure 6 shows that the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of UD from the 8th grade students until the 10th grade students improved.




Figure 6. The mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of UD

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

The contexts that had been implemented in the mathematical literacy proficiency were in accordance with the standards that had been implemented in the PISA and the contexts included the use of Mathematics in the personal life (PER), social life (SOC), occupation (OCC), and science (SC). Being adjusted to the level of ability that became the standards of PISA, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also differentiated for each domain.

Based on the Figure 7, the researchers found that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved in all contexts. The improvement was in accordance with the students' grade level. The findings showed that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region improved in accordance to the grade level.

However, in this case the 8th and the 9th grade students achieved the highest score for the SC context while the 10th grade students achieved the highest score for the OCC context. Meanwhile, for the PER and SOC context, the students achieved lower score than the other two contexts (OCC, and SC). The reason was that the PER and SOC context test items were designed under the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (the third domain of process) and under the indicator of drawing the conclusion on the mathematical results toward the contextual problems and of evaluating and providing logical reasons or arguments toward the mathematical results that had been attained. In addition, the students' ability in this domain of process was lower than their ability in the other two domains of process (FRM, and EMP).

Commented [Reviewer19]: Past simple

Research Results

- Past tense for results obtained
- Present tense to refer to figures, tables and graphs

done




Figure 7. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on the Context

Commented [Reviewer20]: The same. Measurement units remains unclear

Figure 8 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the low, moderate, and the high school level increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade for the OCC and SC context. On the contrary, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER and SOC context in the low and moderate school level decreased from the 8th to the 9th grade but increased from the 9th to the 10th grade. Then, for all contexts the students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. In general, the 9th and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased for all contexts in the low, moderate and high-level schools. The reason was that the model level school that had been sampled was the best moderate level school.

We had written in methods, all proficiency scores are in 0-1000 scale




Figure 8. Mathematical literacy proficiency based on the context, grade and the school level

Subsequently, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on each context is shown in Figure 9. The growth of grade 8 to 10 students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the OCC context. The OCC context was related to the students' life in the school or in the working environment. For the OCC context in the 8th grade, the percentage showed that the 8th grade students' ability in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region was equally distributed from the "Below Level 1" category until the "Level 5" category for the OCC context; most of the students were in "Below Level 1" category and there were very few students or there were almost none of the students who were in "Level 6" category.




Figure 9. The Level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the OCC context

The result shows in Figure 9 suggests that the improvement between the 9th grade and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency was almost similar. The number of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category were very few or near 0% and there were less than 5% of the students who were in "Level 1" category. The number of the 10th grade students who were in "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category was higher than that of the 9th grade students. The Figure showed that based on the OCC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level.

The domain of PER context had direct relationship to the students' daily activities. In the daily activities, the students definitely encountered the personal problems that demanded immediate solutions. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 10th grade students is displayed in Figure 10.




Figure 10. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the per context

Figure 10 shows that for the PER context 30% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Below Level 1” category and 10% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Level 1” category. In addition, still based on the above Figure 10 it was apparent that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of the 9th and the 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2,” “Level 3,” “Level 4” and “Level 5” category. However, in overall the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER context was still low because more than 70% of the students were still in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories and around 20% of the students were in “Level 4,” “Level 5” and “Level 6” categories.




Figure 11. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the soc context

The SOC context was related to the use of mathematical knowledge in the SOC life and the wider neighborhood in the daily life. Figure 11 shows that the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the SOC context. Figure 11 suggests that for the SOC context, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 were in "Below Level 1" category. The percentage above showed that based on the SOC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of 9th grade and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" and "Level 1" category and the increasing number of the students who were in "Level 2" category and above. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the SOC context was still low since most of the students were in "Below Level 1," "Level 1," "Level 2" and "Level 3" categories.

The SC context was related to the scientific activities that more abstract and that demanded theoretical mastery and understanding in performing the mathematical problem solution (see Figure 12). For the SC context, Figure 12 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. In addition, for the SC context more than 50% of the students in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region achieved the "Level 4," the "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category.




Fig. 12. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the SC context

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The students' literacy proficiency was also classified into each domain of process. The mapping of the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the domain of process is presented in Figure 13.




Figure 13. Mathematical literacy proficiency based on grade and process

The domain of process in formulating the mathematical situations (formulate) the mathematical literacy proficiency of 8th, 9th and 10th grade of the students improved along with their grade level is shown in Figure 13. Similar finding was also found in the domain of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning (EMP), and interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (INT). However, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than that in the process of formulating the mathematical situations. Similarly, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest compared to the other two domains of process. These findings implied that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical situations. However, there were also some students who might formulate the mathematical situations but they were not able to solve the mathematical situations by employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The students might also interpret, implement and evaluate the mathematical situations appropriately if they were able to formulate the mathematical situations and to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately.




Figure 14. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on process and school level

In addition to the domain of process, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy observed by the school level (i.e., low, moderate and high). The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the domain of process in each school level is presented in Figure 14. The result in Figure 14 shows that the growth of the 8th grade students had improved from the low-level school to the moderate-level school. However, the growth of the literacy proficiency had decreased in the high-level school in terms of the domain of process. In overall, mathematical literacy proficiency of the students had improved in accordance with the increasing school level and quality.




Figure 15. The mathematical literacy proficiency to formulate

Then, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was examined under each domain of process. The first domain was to formulate the mathematical situations is shown in Figure 15. The second domain was to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade until the 9th grade in the second domain is shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16. The mathematical literacy proficiency to employ

These findings showed that there had been improvement of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in accordance with their grade level. The improvement can be seen in the decreasing percentage of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and the increasing percentage of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Subsequently, the researchers examined the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the third domain of process, namely to formulate, to employ, and to interpret the mathematical results. In the Figure 17 presents the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students' in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region on the third domain of process.




Figure 17. The mathematical literacy proficiency to interpret

The percentage showed that there was improvement on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade although there were few students in "Level 5" and "Level 6". There is a decrease in the number of grade 9 students and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and there are increasing number of students who were in "Level 4," "Level 5" and "Level 6" category.

Discussion

The results of this research showed that there was an improvement of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and to the 10th grade. The higher the grade was, the higher the students' achievement in the score of mathematical literacy proficiency. These results indicate that the achievement level of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also influenced by the grade. However, the influence of age or year level was not significant. This result is in accordance with the work of Magen-Nagar (2016, p. 318); Jabor, Machtmes, Kungu, Buntat, and Nordin (2011), and also Thoren, Heinig, and Brunner (2016) who found that age and school level influenced the students' literacy proficiency. The reason is that the mathematics learning material learned by students in grades 9 and 10 as stated in the standards of the Indonesian curriculum is more comprehensive than students in grades 8 did. As a result, the higher the students' grade was the more learning materials that supported the improvement of mathematical literacy would be.

In relation to the content of mathematical literacy there was a tendency that the literacy within the content of CR and UD had been higher in comparison to the content of QNT and the content of SS. Although students' literacy in numbers, geometry, increased from grade 8 to 10, the students' ability was still in the low category. It can be seen from the small number of students in level 4 to 6. In numbers, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 could not achieve level 4. All students in year 8 could not even achieve level 2. This result shows that students in grade 8 found difficulties in formulating information in most of the test items. They could only answer questions related to geometry and numbers that have been clearly defined. The students' low ability in the geometrical content indicated their low ability in spatial skills. This is in line with previous studies, e.g. Hannafin, Truxaw, Vermillion, and Liu (2008), and Novak and Tassell (2017) that spatial abilities are directly related to mathematics ability, particularly in geometry.

The student's literacy in algebra was not significantly different among students in their year 8 to 10. This is supported by the finding from previous research by Eze, Ezenwafor, and Obi (2015, p. 99); Josiah and Adejoke (2014, p. 475) that students age is not a significant correlate of students' algebra skills. However, student's literacy in algebra is better than their literacy in numbers, geometry and uncertainty dan statistics. Most of the students' scores were above 669 (level 6). The possible reason is that algebra has been taught to students in year 8 than those in year 9 and 10.

Concerning achievement related to the process of formulating mathematical situations, of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning and of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results, there was an improvement in the students' mathematical literacy from the 8th to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. Meanwhile, in overall the students' ability in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than their ability in the process of formulating the mathematical solutions. Similarly, the students' score of mathematical literacy in the process of interpreting, employing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest one in comparison to their scores in the other two domains of process. These findings showed that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical problems. The students' low ability in the domain of interpreting shows that they were not able to infer, apply, and evaluate problem solutions. Similarly, Tambychik and Meerah (2010, p. 150) also found that students found difficulties during making meaningful connection in the problem. Furthermore, Jupri, Drijvers, and Heuvel-Panhuizen (2014, p. 51) also found that students experienced difficulties in solving problems due to their inability in applying the reverse strategy (Jupri et al., 2014, p. 51) as a step in verifying solutions to the problems before they come to a conclusion.

For the achievement related to the context, the students' score of mathematical literacy in PER and SOC context was lower than their scores in the OCC and the SC context. The reason was that within the learning process, the mathematics learning materials directed the students to understand and to master the knowledge as a preparation to pursue higher level education or to find a job. As a result, PER and SOC context had rarely been implemented in the mathematics learning materials. This made the students' find difficulties in answering questions that used the contexts. This is in line with the studies by Abdullah, Abidin, and Ali (2015, p. 140), and Aoyama (2007, p. 308) when they had to answer questions related to contexts that they have not learned before. This result suggests the need to integrate various contexts in the learning and teaching process.

The students' achievement score in mathematical literacy was influenced by the school level. There was correlation between the scores in mathematical literacy and achievement in national examination. The higher the school performance in national exams, the higher the achievements of its students of the school in mathematical literacy ability. The good input of the students, learning process and learning achievement were usually had by school that had high achievement. These findings had been in accordance with the finding from Bohlmann and Pretorius (2008), and also Chowa et al. (2015) that school-level characteristics affect academic achievement. There were many factors influenced the students' mathematical literacy ability, the score in the content of CR and of UD was higher than that in the content of QNT and of SS both based on the school grade and the school level. The possible factor was the material contents in every grade. The content of CR and of uncertainty dominated the contents in the 8th grade and the 9th grade in Indonesian Curriculum.

The research result showed that the students' mathematical literacy was unsatisfying. It should be get attention from government, teacher, and researcher. The mathematics teaching quality, including process of planning, implementing and assessing learning outcomes should support the students' mathematical literacy proficiency. Although the quality of schools affects students' ability (Chowa et al., 2015), but the quality of teaching is most important key to improve the students'

achievement in mathematical literacy (Retnawati, Djidu, Kartianom, Apino, & Anazifa, 2018). The improvement toward the learning quality and the learning assessment can be pursued through the integration of the literacy into the mathematics learning process (Hillman, 2014, p. 403), and also to the other subjects. Besides that, mathematics education programs should be developed to help students be able to make real life connections (Djidu & Retnawati, 2018; Yavuz, İlğün Dibek, & Yalçın, 2017). Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the strategies that can be used to train the students' mathematical literacy, and also the development of teaching sets to teach mathematical literacy.

Conclusions and Implications

In the content domain, specifically Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD), there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade, but in Change and Relationship (CR), the ability of students from 8th grade was higher than students from 9th grade and 10th grade. The percentage of students whose literacy proficiency were in categories 1-6 in 9th grade and 10th grade tent to be higher than in 8th grade, and for 8th grade were dominant in below level 1. For CR and UD, the average ability of students were approaching 600. In the context domain, the literacy ability of students in occupational and scientific contexts showed the average of students' ability in 8th, 9th, and 10th grade were relatively similar. In the personal and societal context domains, the ability of students in grades 8th, 9th, and 10th were relatively same. For grade 8th grade, students' mathematical literacy abilities related to occupational and scientific contexts were already above of international average in grades 9 and 10 that met 600. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate (FRM) showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500. In the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Basic on the results of these studies, some further research can be conduct related to this research. The development of mathematical literacy abilities based on content, contexts and processes domains that have not been as expected, needs to be determined. The decreasing scores of students' literacy skills from 8th to 9th grade, from 9th to 10th grade also need to be known the contributing factors. The results of students' literacy scores in the domain content, context and processes that have not reach optimal scores, efforts to improve the quality of mathematics learning need to be done. Research related to improve teaching and learning that train mathematical literacy competencies, especially related to each mathematical literacy subdomain needs to be done. The assessment model to assess the development of student literacy skills for each domain also needs to be examined, so that the mathematical literacy ability of each stage can be measured. Likewise, students' difficulties in solving problems related to mathematical literacy skills also need to be described and planned how to cover it.

References

- Abdullah, A. H., Abidin, N. L. Z., & Ali, M. (2015). Analysis of students' errors in solving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) problems for the topic of fraction. *Asian Social Science*, 11(21), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n21p133>.
- Aoyama, K. (2007). Mathematics Education. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 298–318). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92048-8>
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2014). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2014. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2014). Report of National Examination 2014] [Software].

Commented [Reviewer21]: Change it

done

- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2015). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2015. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2015). Report of National Examination 2015] [Software].
- Bohlmann, C., & Pretorius, E. (2008). Relationships between mathematics and literacy: exploring some underlying factors. *Pythagoras*, 67(June), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.4102/pythagoras.v0i67.73>
- Casey, G. (2013). Interdisciplinary Literacy Through Social Media in the Mathematics Classroom: An Action Research Study. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.216>
- Chowa, G. A. N., Masa, R. D., Ramos, Y., & Ansong, D. (2015). How do student and school characteristics influence youth academic achievement in Ghana? a hierarchical linear modeling of Ghana youths save baseline data. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.09.009>
- Djidu, H., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Cultural values-integrated mathematical learning model to develop HOTS and character values. In E. Retnawati, A. Ghufron, Marzuki, Kasiyan, A. C. Pierawan, & Ashadi (Eds.), *Character Education for 21st Century Global Citizens* (pp. 363–370). Yogyakarta: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315104188-46>
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the common core state standards: preparing students for 21st-century literacy-now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(4), 321–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00145>
- Eze, T. I., Ezenwafor, J. I., & Obi, M. N. (2015). Effects of age and gender on academic achievement of vocational and technical education (VTE) students of a nigerian university. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 6(1), 96–101. Retrieved from [http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects of Age and Gender new.pdf](http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects%20of%20Age%20and%20Gender%20new.pdf)
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory*. Boston, MA: Kluwer.
- Hannafin, R. D., Truxaw, M. P., Vermillion, J. R., & Liu, Y. (2008). Effects of spatial ability and instructional program on geometry achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 101(3), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.101.3.148-157>
- Hillman, A. M. (2014). A Literature review on disciplinary literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.256>
- Jabor, M. K., Machtmes, K., Kungu, K., Buntat, Y., & Nordin, M. S. (2011). The influence of age and gender on the students' achievement in mathematics. *2011 International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*, 5, 304–308.
- Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran matematika berbasis HOTS dan pendidikan karakter. *Laporan Penelitian Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta*, Indonesia. [Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). The Development of Mathematics Teaching Material Based on Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and Character Education. *Research Report of Yogyakarta State University*, Indonesia.]
- Josiah, O., & Adejoke, E. O. (2014). Effect of gender, age and mathematics anxiety on college students' achievement in algebra. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(7), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-7-7>
- Jupri, A., Drijvers, P., & Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Van den. (2014). Student difficulties in solving equations from an operational and a structural perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 39–55.
- Krasny, K. A. (2013). *Gender and literacy: a handbook for educators and parents*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, LLC All.
- Magen-Nagar, N. (2016). The effects of learning strategies on mathematical literacy: a comparison between lower and higher achieving countries. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(2), 306–321. Retrieved from

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1105113&site=ehost-live>

- Masters, G. N. (2010). The partial credit model. In *Handbook of item response theory models* (pp. 109–122). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Matute, E., Montiel, T., Pinto, N., Rosselli, M., Ardila, A., & Zarabozo, D. (2012). Comparing cognitive performance in illiterate and literate children. *International Review of Education*, 58(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-012-9273-9>
- NCCA. (2012). *Project maths: responding to current debate*. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.ncca.ie/en/Publications/Other_Publications/Project-Maths-Responding-to-Current-Debate.pdf
- Novak, E., & Tassell, J. L. (2017). Studying preservice teacher math anxiety and mathematics performance in geometry, word, and non-word problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 54, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.01.005>
- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026407-en>
- OECD. (2016). *PISA 2015 results (Volume I): excellence and equity in education*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264266490-en>
- OECD PISA. (2013). *PISA 2012 released mathematics items*.
- Ojose, B. (2011). Mathematics literacy: Are we able to put the mathematics we learn into everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(1), 89–100.
- Retnawati, H., Djidu, H., Kartianom, K., Apino, E., & Anazifa, R. D. (2018). Teachers' knowledge about higher-order thinking skills and its learning strategy. *Problem of Education in the 21st Century*, 76(2), 215–230. Retrieved from <http://oaji.net/articles/2017/457-1524597598.pdf>
- Retnawati, H., & Wulandari, N. F. (2019). The development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 77(4), 502–514. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/19.77.502>
- Savaş, E., Taş, S., & Duru, A. (2010). Factors Affecting Students' Achievement in Mathematics. *Inonu University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 11(1), 113–132.
- Shiel, G., Perkins, R., Close, S., & Oldham, E. (2007). *PISA mathematics: a teacher's guide*. Dublin: Department of Education and Science. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265011126>
- Stacey, K. (2011). The PISA view of mathematical literacy in Indonesia. *Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 95–126. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1078641.pdf>
- Tambychik, T., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2010). Students' difficulties in mathematics problem-solving: what do they say? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8(5), 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.020>
- Thoren, K., Heinig, E., & Brunner, M. (2016). Relative age effects in mathematics and reading: investigating the generalizability across students, time and classes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(MAY), Article 679. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00679>
- Türkan, A., Üner, S. S., & Alcı, B. (2015). 2012 PISA matematik testi puanlarının bazı Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. *Ege Eğitim Dergisi*, 16(2), 358–372.
- Unesco. (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015: achievements and challenges. EFA Global Monitoring Report* (2nd ed.). Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Uysal, Ş. (2015). Factors affecting the mathematics achievement of Turkish students in PISA 2012. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(12), 1670–1678. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2014.2067>

Wu, M. L., Adams, R. J., & Wilson, M. R. (1997). *ConQuest: multi-aspect test software*. Camberwell, Vic.: Australian Council for Educational Research.

Yavuz, H. Ç., İlgün Dibeç, M., & Yalçın, S. (2017). Türk ve Vietnamlı öğrencilerin PISA 2012 matematik okuryazarlığı ile dürtü ve güdülenme özellikleri arasındaki ilişkiler. *İlköğretim Online*, 16(1), 178–196. <https://doi.org/10.17051/io.2017.45107>

The text must be carefully reviewed and necessary corrections made.

Accept without revision	
Accept with minor revisions	
Accept: with moderate revisions	X
Pre-Accept: major revisions and re-evaluation	
Reject: Rework and re-submit	
Reject: do NOT re-submit	

MASUKAN REVIEWER TAHAP KEDUA

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND PROCESS

191018.pcc_RD-upo_review

Re-evaluation

Abstract

The literacy proficiency development is one of concern in education generally, so is in mathematics education as well. The growth of literacy proficiency is one of issues in education, cause it is very important to problem solving skills in students' real life. This research aimed to describe the growth of lower secondary school and secondary schools students' mathematical literacy proficiency in Yogyakarta Special Region province, Indonesia. Three mathematical literacy proficiency aspects were examined in the research, namely content, process and context. It was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. The population was 1,001 lower secondary school and secondary schools students ranging between 13 and 16 years old were selected using the combination of stratified and the cluster random sampling technique. A test consisting of 30 items, were adopted from the existing PISA test items used to collect the data in the research. The main data analysis was conducted by estimating students' ability through the item-response theory approach. The results showed that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in Yogyakarta based on content, context, and process, still low. In the content domain, specifically shape and space, quantity, and uncertainty and data, there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade. In the context domain, the literacy ability of students in occupational and scientific contexts showed the average of students' ability in 8th, 9th, and 10th grade were relatively similar, and in the personal and societal context domains, the ability of students in grades 8th, 9th, and 10th were relatively same. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500, and in the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Key words: mathematics literacy proficiency development, content, context, process

Introduction

Advances in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have introduced new changes and challenges. Education is expected to prepare individuals with skills to deal with these changes and challenges. Many countries have realized the need to equip their young generation through education with multiple competencies. One of the students' competences that become an international issue is literacy proficiency. Literacy is one of the main competencies that learners need to face the challenges of the 21st Century (Drew, 2012). The traditional definition of literacy is ability to read and write a short simple statement about individual's life (Krasny, 2013, p. 14). Today, literacy does not only evolve into being able to read, but also about being intellectual, and knowing how to research and solve complex problems. Therefore, literacy is fundamental for individuals to be able to participate in society and achieve their goals in work and life (Unesco, 2015, pp. 136–137). In addition, literacy also has an effect on all cognitive domains (Matute et al., 2012, p. 124).

Literacy proficiency has been assessed in Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) that initiated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The objectives of PISA are to assess the students' knowledge and skills in the real world and to prepare them with the long-life learning and the community participation (Stacey, 2011, p. 105). The result from PISA might be used by the government to, for example, monitor the educational system (Retnawati & Wulandari, 2019; Stacey, 2011). There are three aspects of literacy proficiency

Commented [Reviewer1]: Up to 250 words

Commented [Reviewer2]: Upper-secondary?

Commented [Reviewer3]: Incorrect
Note that a keyword does not have to be made of only one word!

Proposed Keywords are not appropriate

Google shows 23 600 000 000 results for content .. unsearchable

that are measured namely reading literacy, mathematical literacy, and scientific literacy proficiency (OECD PISA, 2013).

Mathematical literacy is related to the individual proficiency in formulating, identifying, understanding and implementing the mathematical foundations in multiple contexts that an individual needs in daily life (Ojose, 2011, p. 90). It is also needed to verify the solutions to problems that have been created (Hillman, 2014). Mathematical literacy important for students' competency to read, write, and speak about mathematics (Casey, 2013; Hillman, 2014). The result of many studies showed that mathematical literacy affected by some factors, e.g. school-level characteristics (Chowa, Masa, Ramos, & Ansong, 2015), and teachers' behaviors (Magen-Nagar, 2016, pp. 318–319) which are related to the implementation of learning in the classroom. Another factor arising from the students themselves, includes mathematics interest and self-concept (Uysal, 2015, p. 1670), grade level, gender (Magen-Nagar, 2016, p. 318), time allocated for learning mathematics (Savaş, Taş, & Duru, 2010, p. 113), learning facility at home (Türkan, Üner, & Alci, 2015, p. 359), as well as the economic, social and cultural status (Stacey, 2011).

The mathematical literacy proficiency involves some aspect of mathematical thinking including reasoning, modelling, making connections between idea (NCCA, 2012, p. 8), mathematical concept, mathematical procedure, and mathematical fact. These aspects are central in explaining and predicting a phenomenon by emphasizing the competencies of process, content and context (OECD, 2006, p. 12). The domain of the content to assess include the Change and Relationship (CR), Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD). The next domain is the context that related to Personal (PER), Occupational (OCCP), Societal (SOC), and Scientific (SC). The process competencies in the mathematical literacy proficiency are to formulate (FRM), employ (EMP), and interpret (INT).

In order to measure the context competencies, a researcher should implement several types of test items. According to Shiel, Perkins, Close, and Oldham (2007), the test item designs for the PISA assessment format are the traditional multiple-choice items, the complex multiple-choice items, the closed-constructed response items, the short-response items and the open-constructed response items." After the students' responses, have been analyzed, the individual capacity classified into 7 levels, starting from below Level 1 to Level 6. The descriptions of students' capacity at each level using the PISA classification.

Based on the results of a study conducted by PISA from 2000 to 2015, it was found that only few students were able to reach level 4 or above. Meanwhile, most of them still below level 2. Some parties claimed that the low level of students' literacy proficiency showed the failure of education system organized by the Indonesian government. Teachers are considered still not successful in training student literacy. But another consider that the results of the PISA study cannot be used as a basis to justify the quality of education in a country. The things that are debated are related to sampling, context, and differences in curriculum in each country. Retnawati and Wulandari (2019) described literacy proficiency development, but their research have not explain the development of literacy proficiency based on its domain, namely content, context, and process.

Although the correlation between the results of the PISA and the quality of education is still debated, the results of PISA provide an overview of the growth mathematical literacy proficiency of students in Indonesia in recent years. However, PISA results have not been able to explain the mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on its domain. In addition, students' mathematical abilities which also influence the literacy proficiency of students were very diverse (Balitbang Kemdikbud RI, 2014, 2015).

Problem of Research

Based on these problem, a research to describe the growth of mathematical literacy based on its domain was needed. In correlation with the reason, the research questions were

Commented [Reviewer4]: I do not see any research problem described
What is the problem? Are there any existing solutions? What is its main limitation? And what do you hope to achieve?

1. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on content domain?
2. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on context domain?
3. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on process domain?

Research Focus

The purpose of this research was to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on the domains of literacy, that are content, process and context domain.

Research Methodology

Research Design

The research was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. Within the research, the researcher would like to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in 8th, 9th and 10th grade, especially trend in content, context, and process literacy. This research was conducted with the stages of preparing instrument by adapting items released PISA, proving the validity and estimating reliability, conduct tests to students, estimating the ability of students in the content, context, and process of mathematical literacy using the international items parameters, then presents the results of the analysis to describe the trend of development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in content, context, and process domein. The data colleting conducted in 2015, and data analysis conducted in 2016-2017.

Population and Sample

The population of the study was about 125,000 lower secondary school and secondary school students, the students ranging between 13 and 15 in the junior high schools and the students ranging between 15 and 16 years old in the senior high school in Yogyakarta Special Region Province in Indonesia. A combination of the stratified random sampling technique and the cluster random sampling technique was used to select the research participants. The sample comprised the 8th and 9th grade students from the lower secondary school and secondary school degree and the 10th grade students from the senior high school degree in Yogyakarta Special Region. These students came from three different levels of schools, namely the high-performance, the moderate-performance and the low-performance category. This categorization was made based on the scores in the Mathematics National Examination. A total of 1,001 students participated in the study, 464 male and 537 female, 155 students were in their 8th grade (13-14 years old), 386 students were in their 9th grade (14-15 years old), and 460 students were in their 10th grade (15-16 years old). The sample size determined by formula to estimate 95% confidence interval for mean of students' literacy proficiency, using error 6.5 and standard deviation 100, and got minimum sample size 909.25.

When data collecting conducted, researchers informed to teachers and students that the test was conducted only for research. All of identities about students, teachers, and school were coded. The results of the test was not used for any decision about students.

Data Collecting

A test consisting of 30 items was used to collect the data in the research. The test items were adopted from the existing PISA test items, i.e. PISA 2003, 2007 and 2011. These items were translated into Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian language) and the contexts were also adjusted to

Commented [Reviewer5]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

Commented [Reviewer6]: Previous comment was ignored. Please consistently use these terms. If you select 'research' or "study", you should exploit only one of them rather than interplayed usage. It is preferable to use the term "research"

Commented [Reviewer7]: Secondary is one level, usually divided into two stages: lower and upper secondary.

Commented [Reviewer8]: Sometimes secondary school, sometimes high school...Confusing at all

Commented [Reviewer9]: Instrument

correspond Indonesian contexts. These test items were developed and validated by Wulandari (Jailani, Retnawati, Musfiqi, & Wulandari, 2015). The validity of the test instrument was examined through the content validity that was conducted in order to identify the relevance and the representativeness of the instrument toward the domain under assessment. It involved consulting the test instrument to experts (professional judgments) in relation to the domains of content and context and the domain of process in the PISA test-item model. The domain of test item content includes the four contents (QNT, CR, SS, and UD). The content validity was also examined to identify the coverage and the relevance of the test items to the domain of context (PER, SSC, OCCP, and SSC), and the domain of process (FRM, EMP, and INT). The experts also provided feedbacks regarding the material truth, the composition of substances in each domain, the test item readability and the relevance between the test item context and the students in Indonesia.

Cronbach's α was used as a measure of the reliability of the test that took the form of essay or multiple choices with the dichotomous data. The index of reliability was .707 and the SEM was equal to 2.81. The Cronbach's α indicated the internal consistency at this level is considered reasonably high. Based on the SEM score, the researchers would like to imply that if the PISA test item model had been administered again then the score that the students would attain would be from $XT - 2.81$ until $XT + 2.81$.

Data Analysis

To analyze the growth of literacy proficiency, the students' ability was estimated first by implementing the Rasch model in the unidimensional item response theory. The estimation was conducted utilize the item parameters that had been equalized into the international study test item with the concordance model for the linking score. The concordance was conducted by implementing the Mean and Mean Method. The researcher subsequently interpreted the inter-year ability literacy proficiency, especially in content, context, and process literacy.

Commented [Reviewer10]: Never start a sentence with "to"

Table 1. Adjustment of Ability Parameter Into the PISA International Parameter

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
Content	CR	0.935	-0.7005	1.6355	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.6355$
	QNT	0.2599	0.4768	-0.2169	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.2169$
	SS	-0.3173	-0.1807	-0.1367	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.1367$
	UD	-0.7122	-1.04188	0.3297	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3297$
Context	OCCP	-0.6751	-1.7395	1.0644	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.0644$
	PER	0.5334	0.3713	0.16214	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.1621$
	SC	1.441	-0.5215	1.9625	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.9625$
	SOC	-1.3221	-0.3367	-0.9855	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.9855$
Process	EMP	-0.86963	-0.92664	0.0570	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.0570$
	FRM	0.036693	-0.298	0.3347	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3347$
	INT	0.9454	0.4944	0.451	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.451$

The steps of data analysis were as follows: (1) Estimating the item parameters and the ability parameters by operating the Rasch model proposed by Masters (2010). It was applied to both the students' response from the multiple-choice test items and the dichotomous and polytomous constructed response test item. CONQUEST program was used in the analysis (Wu, Adams, & Wilson, 1997) with the calibration concurrent model for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; (2) Adjusting the test item parameters to the international test item parameters by means of Mean and Mean method

(Hambleton & Swaminathan, 1985). It was conducted until the researcher attained the adjustment of the item parameter to the international scale for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade. The results of the adjustment is presented in Table 1; (3) Implementing the modification equation from the second step in order to adjust the capacity parameter for each class; (4) performing a descriptive analysis in order to present the growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; and (5) categorizing the participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model for each classroom by using the results of participants' capacity estimation.

The participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model, the student ability scale should be transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100. The results of the transformation were then categorized into 7 levels that consisted of Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, Level 5 and Level 6 in accordance to the Technical Report (OECD, 2016). Based on the results of transformation and the categorization of literacy proficiency, the researcher subsequently monitored the development trend. The growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency described by referring to the standard and by considering the aspect of literacy content, context and process.

Research Results

Literacy Based on the Content

The mathematical literacy proficiency of the 10th grade students was better than that of the 8th and 9th grade students', particularly for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. The complete result shows in Fig. 1. This finding showed that there was an improvement on the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. On the contrary, the 8th grade students had the highest mathematical literacy proficiency in comparison to the 9th and 10th grade students for the content of CR. This finding showed that there was a decreasing on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of CR.




Fig. 1. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Content

Commented [Reviewer11]: Figure 1.

Commented [Reviewer12]: In full

Commented [Reviewer13]: lowercases

Commented [Reviewer14]: I do not see measurement units

The growth of the students' mathematical literacy in the low school level improved among the 8th, 9th and 10th grade for the content of QNT, SS, UD. This result is shown in Fig. 2. However, the highest score in CR was obtained by the 8th grade students. The growth of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the moderate school tended to be unstable which implied the increasing and the decreasing proficiency in accordance with the students' grade. For example the Fig. 2 shows that the literacy proficiency for the content of QNT increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade but decreased from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. Similar result was obtained for the quantity content. In the meantime, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the high school level had improved along with the students' grade for all of the PISA contents that had been administered.




Fig. 2. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Content and School Level

Overall, there was an improvement on the students' mathematical literacy in the 9th and 10th grade in accordance with the school level. The higher the school level was, the higher the mathematical literacy proficiency that the students attained. However, for the 8th grade students, the moderate school level attained the highest score in comparison to the high school level. The possible reason was that the 8th grade was the most prominent.




Fig. 3. The Mathematical Literacy on the Content of QNT

Commented [Reviewer15]: Grammar mistakes in this figure

Commented [Reviewer16]: Remove symbols %. Indicate at once – percentage on the axis

There was an improvement of the students' mathematical literacy and that the students achieved Level 1 to Level 6 for the content of QNT. There were 10% of the 9th and 10th grade students who had been able to achieve the Level 6. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT was low because most of the students only achieved the following category: Below Level 1 – Level 3. Furthermore, there were only 20% students who achieved Level 4 – Level 6. These results show in Fig. 3.




Fig. 4. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the Content of CR

Fig. 4 shows that base on the students' grade, the mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of a CR tended to be unstable. However, there were many 8th grade students who were in the "Level 6" category compared to the 9th and 10th grade students. This result might be due to the fact that the learning material of CR is taught to students in their 8th grade.




Fig. 5. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the Content of SS

Commented [Reviewer17]: The same

Another content that became the focus of assessment in the mathematical literacy proficiency was the content of SS. Fig. 5 shows that, overall, the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in their 8th grade, 9th grade, and 10th improved along with the grade level. However, in general, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of SS **still low** because most of the students achieved the below level 1–level 3 category. Fig. 6 shows that the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of UD from the 8th grade students until the 10th grade students improved.




Fig. 6. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the Content of UD

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

The contexts that had been implemented in the mathematical literacy proficiency were in accordance with the standards that had been implemented in the PISA and the contexts included the use of Mathematics in the personal life (PER), social life (SOC), occupation (OCC), and science (SC). Being adjusted to the level of ability that became the standards of PISA, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also differentiated for each domain.

Based on the Fig. 7, the researchers found that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved in all contexts. The improvement was in accordance with the students' grade level. The findings showed that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region improved in accordance to the grade level.

However, in this case the 8th and the 9th grade students achieved the highest score for the SC context while the 10th grade students achieved the highest score for the OCC context. Meanwhile, for the PER and SOC context, the students achieved lower score than the other two contexts (OCC, and SC). The reason was that the PER and SOC context test items were designed under the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (the third domain of process) and under the indicator of drawing the conclusion on the mathematical results toward the contextual problems and of evaluating and providing logical reasons or arguments toward the mathematical results that had been attained. In addition, the students' ability in this domain of process was lower than their ability in the other two domains of process (FRM, and EMP).

Commented [Reviewer18]: Past simple

Research Results

- Past tense for results obtained
- Present tense to refer to figures, tables and graphs




Fig. 7. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

Commented [Reviewer19]: The same. Measurement units remains unclear

Fig. 8 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the low, moderate, and the high school level increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade for the OCC and SC context. On the contrary, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER and SOC context in the low and moderate school level decreased from the 8th to the 9th grade but increased from the 9th to the 10th grade. Then, for all contexts the students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. In general, the 9th and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased for all contexts in the low, moderate and high-level schools. The reason was that the model level school that had been sampled was the best moderate level school.




Fig. 8. Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context and the School Level

Subsequently, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on each context is shown in Fig. 9. The growth of grade 8 to 10 students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the OCC context. The OCC context was related to the students' life in the school or in the working environment. For the OCC context in the 8th grade, the percentage showed that the 8th grade students' ability in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region was equally distributed from the "Below Level 1" category until the "Level 5" category for the OCC context; most of the students were in "Below Level 1" category and there were very few students or there were almost none of the students who were in "Level 6" category.




Fig. 9. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the OCC Context

The result shows in Fig. 9 suggests that the improvement between the 9th grade and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency was almost similar. The number of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category were very few or near 0% and there were less than 5% of the students who were in "Level 1" category. The number of the 10th grade students who were in "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category was higher than that of the 9th grade students. The Fig. showed that based on the OCC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level.

The domain of PER context had direct relationship to the students' daily activities. In the daily activities, the students definitely encountered the personal problems that demanded immediate solutions. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 10th grade students is displayed in Fig. 10.




Fig. 10. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the PER Context

Fig. 10 shows that for the PER context 30% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Below Level 1” category and 10% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Level 1” category. In addition, still based on the above Fig. it was apparent that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of the 9th and the 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2,” “Level 3,” “Level 4” and “Level 5” category. However, in overall the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER context was still low because more than 70% of the students were still in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories and around 20% of the students were in “Level 4,” “Level 5” and “Level 6” categories.




Fig. 11. The Level of Mathematical Literacy proficiency on the SOC Context

The SOC context was related to the use of mathematical knowledge in the SOC life and the wider neighborhood in the daily life. Fig. 11 shows that the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the SOC context. Fig. 11 suggests that for the SOC context, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 were in "Below Level 1" category. The percentage above showed that based on the SOC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of 9th grade and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" and "Level 1" category and the increasing number of the students who were in "Level 2" category and above. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the SOC context was still low since most of the students were in "Below Level 1," "Level 1," "Level 2" and "Level 3" categories.

The SC context was related to the scientific activities that more abstract and that demanded theoretical mastery and understanding in performing the mathematical problem solution (see Fig. 12). For the SC context, Fig. 12 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. In addition, for the SC context more than 50% of the students in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region achieved the "Level 4," the "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category.




Fig. 12. The Level of Mathematical Literacy Proficiency on the SC Context

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The students' literacy proficiency was also classified into each domain of process. The mapping of the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the domain of process is presented in Fig. 13.




Fig. 13. Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The domain of process in formulating the mathematical situations (formulate) the mathematical literacy proficiency of 8th, 9th and 10th grade of the students improved along with their grade level is shown in Fig. 13. Similar finding was also found in the domain of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning (EMP), and interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (INT). However, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than that in the process of formulating the mathematical situations. Similarly, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest compared to the other two domains of process. These findings implied that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical situations. However, there were also some students who might formulate the mathematical situations but they were not able to solve the mathematical situations by employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The students might also interpret, implement and evaluate the mathematical situations appropriately if they were able to formulate the mathematical situations and to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately.




Fig. 14. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency Based on Process and School Level

In addition to the domain of process, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy observed by the school level (i.e., low, moderate and high). The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the domain of process in each school level is presented in Fig. 14. The result in Fig. 14 shows that the growth of the 8th grade students had improved from the low-level school to the moderate-level school. However, the growth of the literacy proficiency had decreased in the high-level school in terms of the domain of process. In overall, mathematical literacy proficiency of the students had improved in accordance with the increasing school level and quality.




Fig. 15. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Formulate

Then, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was examined under each domain of process. The first domain was to formulate the mathematical situations is shown in Fig. 15. The second domain was to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade until the 9th grade in the second domain is shown in Fig. 16.




Fig. 16. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Employ

These findings showed that there had been improvement of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in accordance with their grade level. The improvement can be seen in the decreasing percentage of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and the increasing percentage of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Subsequently, the researchers examined the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the third domain of process, namely to formulate, to employ, and to interpret the mathematical results. In the Fig. 17 presents the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students' in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region on the third domain of process.




Fig. 17. The Mathematical Literacy Proficiency to Interpret

The percentage showed that there was improvement on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade although there were few students in "Level 5" and "Level 6". There is a decrease in the number of grade 9 students and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and there are increasing number of students who were in "Level 4," "Level 5" and "Level 6" category.

Discussion

The results of this research showed that there was an improvement of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and to the 10th grade. The higher the grade was, the higher the students' achievement in the score of mathematical literacy proficiency. These results indicate that the achievement level of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also influenced by the grade. However, the influence of age or year level was not significant. This result is in accordance with the work of Magen-Nagar (2016, p. 318); Jabor, Machtmes, Kungu, Buntat, and Nordin (2011), and also Thoren, Heinig, and Brunner (2016) who found that age and school level influenced the students' literacy proficiency. The reason is that the mathematics learning material learned by students in grades 9 and 10 as stated in the standards of the Indonesian curriculum is more comprehensive than students in grades 8 did. As a result, the higher the students' grade was the more learning materials that supported the improvement of mathematical literacy would be.

In relation to the content of mathematical literacy there was a tendency that the literacy within the content of CR and UD had been higher in comparison to the content of QNT and the content of SS. Although students' literacy in numbers, geometry, increased from grade 8 to 10, the students' ability was still in the low category. It can be seen from the small number of students in level 4 to 6. In numbers, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 could not achieve level 4. All students in year 8 could not even achieve level 2. This result shows that students in grad 8 found difficulties in formulating information in most of the test items. They could only answer questions related to geometry and numbers that have been clearly defined. The students' low ability in the geometrical content indicated their low ability in spatial skills. This is in line with previous studies, e.g. Hannafin, Truxaw, Vermillion, and Liu (2008), and Novak and Tassell (2017) that spatial abilities are directly related to mathematics ability, particularly in geometry.

The student's literacy in algebra was not significantly different among students in their year 8 to 10. This is supported by the finding from previous research by Eze, Ezenwafor, and Obi (2015, p. 99); Josiah and Adejoke (2014, p. 475) that students age is not a significant correlate of students' algebra skills. However, student's literacy in algebra is better than their literacy in numbers, geometry and uncertainty dan statistics. Most of the students' scores were above 669 (level 6). The possible reason is that algebra has been taught to students in year 8 than those in year 9 and 10.

Concerning achievement related to the process of formulating mathematical situations, of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning and of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results, there was an improvement in the students' mathematical literacy from the 8th to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. Meanwhile, in overall the students' ability in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than their ability in the process of formulating the mathematical solutions. Similarly, the students' score of mathematical literacy in the process of interpreting, employing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest one in comparison to their scores in the other two domains of process. These findings showed that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical problems. The students' low ability in the domain of interpreting shows that they were not able to infer, apply, and

evaluate problem solutions. Similarly, Tambychik and Meerah (2010, p. 150) also found that students found difficulties during making meaningful connection in the problem. Furthermore, Jupri, Drijvers, and Heuvel-Panhuizen (2014, p. 51) also found that students experienced difficulties in solving problems due to their inability in applying the reverse strategy (Jupri et al., 2014, p. 51) as a step in verifying solutions to the problems before they come to a conclusion.

For the achievement related to the context, the students' score of mathematical literacy in PER and SOC context was lower than their scores in the OCC and the SC context. The reason was that within the learning process, the mathematics learning materials directed the students to understand and to master the knowledge as a preparation to pursue higher level education or to find a job. As a result, PER and SOC context had rarely been implemented in the mathematics learning materials. This made the students' find difficulties in answering questions that used the contexts. This is in line with the studies by Abdullah, Abidin, and Ali (2015, p. 140), and Aoyama (2007, p. 308) when they had to answer questions related to contexts that they have not learned before. This result suggests the need to integrate various contexts in the learning and teaching process.

The students' achievement score in mathematical literacy was influenced by the school level. There was correlation between the scores in mathematical literacy and achievement in national examination. The higher the school performance in national exams, the higher the achievements of its students of the school in mathematical literacy ability. The good input of the students, learning process and learning achievement were usually had by school that had high achievement. These findings had been in accordance with the finding from Bohlmann and Pretorius (2008), and also Chowa et al. (2015) that school-level characteristics affect academic achievement. There were many factors influenced the students' mathematical literacy ability, the score in the content of CR and of UD was higher than that in the content of QNT and of SS both based on the school grade and the school level. The possible factor was the material contents in every grade. The content of CR and of uncertainty dominated the contents in the 8th grade and the 9th grade in Indonesian Curriculum.

The research result showed that the students' mathematical literacy was unsatisfying. It should be get attention from government, teacher, and researcher. The mathematics teaching quality, including process of planning, implementing and assessing learning outcomes should support the students' mathematical literacy proficiency. Although the quality of schools affects students' ability (Chowa et al., 2015), but the quality of teaching is most important key to improve the students' achievement in mathematical literacy (Retnawati, Djidu, Kartianom, Apino, & Anazifa, 2018). The improvement toward the learning quality and the learning assessment can be pursued through the integration of the literacy into the mathematics learning process (Hillman, 2014, p. 403), and also to the other subjects. Besides that, mathematics education programs should be developed to help students be able to make real life connections (Djidu & Retnawati, 2018; Yavuz, İlgün Dibek, & Yalçın, 2017). Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the strategies that can be used to train the students' mathematical literacy, and also the development of teaching sets to teach mathematical literacy.

Conclusions and Implications

In the content domain, specifically Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD), there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade, but in Change and Relationship (CR), the ability of students from 8th grade was higher than students from 9th grade and 10th grade. The percentage of students whose literacy proficiency were in categories 1-6 in 9th grade and 10th grade tend to be higher than in 8th grade, and for 8th grade were dominant in below level 1. For CR and UD, the average ability of students were approaching 600. In the context domain, the literacy ability of students in occupational and scientific contexts showed the average of

students' ability in 8th, 9th, and 10th grade were relatively similar. In the personal and societal context domains, the ability of students in grades 8th, 9th, and 10th were relatively same. For grade 8th grade, students' mathematical literacy abilities related to occupational and scientific contexts were already above of international average in grades 9 and 10 that met 600. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate (FRM) showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500. In the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Basic on the results of these studies, some further research can be conduct related to this research. The development of mathematical literacy abilities based on content, contexts and processes domains that have not been as expected, needs to be investigated. The decreasing scores of students' literacy skills from 8th to 9th grade, from 9th to 10th grade also need to be known the contributing factors. The results of students' literacy scores in the domain content, context and processes that have not reach optimal scores, efforts to improve the quality of mathematics learning need to be done. Research related to improve teaching and learning that train mathematical literacy competencies, especially related to each mathematical literacy subdomain needs to be done. The assessment model to assess the development of student literacy skills for each domain also needs to be examined, so that the mathematical literacy ability of each stage can be measured. Likewise, students' difficulties in solving problems related to mathematical literacy skills also need to be described and planned how to cover it.

Commented [Reviewer20]: Change it

References

- Abdullah, A. H., Abidin, N. L. Z., & Ali, M. (2015). Analysis of students' errors in solving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) problems for the topic of fraction. *Asian Social Science*, *11*(21), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n21p133>.
- Aoyama, K. (2007). Mathematics Education. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 298–318). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92048-8>
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2014). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2014. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2014). Report of National Examination 2014] [Software].
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2015). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2015. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2015). Report of National Examination 2015] [Software].
- Bohlmann, C., & Pretorius, E. (2008). Relationships between mathematics and literacy: exploring some underlying factors. *Pythagoras*, *67*(June), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.4102/pythagoras.v0i67.73>
- Casey, G. (2013). Interdisciplinary Literacy Through Social Media in the Mathematics Classroom: An Action Research Study. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *57*(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.216>
- Chowa, G. A. N., Masa, R. D., Ramos, Y., & Ansong, D. (2015). How do student and school characteristics influence youth academic achievement in Ghana ? a hierarchical linear modeling of Ghana youths baseline data. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *45*, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.09.009>
- Djidu, H., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Cultural values-integrated mathematical learning model to develop HOTS and character values. In E. Retnowati, A. Ghufron, Marzuki, Kasiyan, A. C. Pierawan, & Ashadi (Eds.), *Character Education for 21st Century Global Citizens* (pp. 363–370). Yogyakarta: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315104188-46>
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the common core state standards: preparing students for 21st-century literacy-now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *56*(4), 321–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00145>

Eze, T. I., Ezenwafor, J. I., & Obi, M. N. (2015). Effects of age and gender on academic achievement of vocational and technical education (VTE) students of a Nigerian university. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 6(1), 96–101. Retrieved from <http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects of Age and Gender new.pdf>

Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory*. Boston, MA: Kluwer **Inc.**

Hannafin, R. D., Truxaw, M. P., Vermillion, J. R., & Liu, Y. (2008). Effects of spatial ability and instructional program on geometry achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 101(3), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.101.3.148-157>

Hillman, A. M. (2014). A Literature review on disciplinary literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.256>

Jabor, M. K., Machtmes, K., Kungu, K., Buntat, Y., & Nordin, M. S. (2011). The influence of age and gender on the students' achievement in mathematics. *2011 International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*, 5, 304–308.

Jailani, Retnawati, H., Musfiq, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran berbasis HOTS dan pendidikan karakter. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.

Please change to meet the APA requirements: "If the original version of a non-English article is used as the source, cite the original version: Give the original title, followed by the English translation in brackets."

In all non-English references one should provide English translation in brackets [] after the original title. Otherwise many (most) readers will not understand. Check out:

<http://blog.apastyle.org/apastyle/2012/12/citing-translated-works-in-apa-style.html>

Josiah, O., & Adejoke, E. O. (2014). Effect of gender, age and mathematics anxiety on college students' achievement in algebra. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(7), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-7-7>

Jupri, A., Drijvers, P., & Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Van den. (2014). Student difficulties in solving equations from an operational and a structural perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 39–55.

Krasny, K. A. (2013). *Gender and literacy: a handbook for educators and parents*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, LLC All.

Magen-Nagar, N. (2016). The effects of learning strategies on mathematical literacy: a comparison between lower and higher achieving countries. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(2), 306–321. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1105113&site=ehost-live>

Masters, G. N. (2010). The partial credit model. In *Handbook of item response theory models* (pp. 109–122). New York, NY: Routledge.

Matute, E., Montiel, T., Pinto, N., Rosselli, M., Ardila, A., & Zarabozo, D. (2012). Comparing cognitive performance in illiterate and literate children. *International Review of Education*, 58(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-012-9273-9>

NCCA. (2012). *Project maths: responding to current debate*. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.ncca.ie/en/Publications/Other_Publications/Project-Maths-Responding-to-Current-Debate.pdf

Novak, E., & Tassell, J. L. (2017). Studying preservice teacher math anxiety and mathematics performance in geometry, word, and non-word problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 54, 20–29.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.01.005>

- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026407-en>
- OECD. (2016). *PISA 2015 results (Volume I): excellence and equity in education*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264266490-en>
- OECD PISA. (2013). *PISA 2012 released mathematics items*.
- Ojose, B. (2011). Mathematics literacy : are we able to put the mathematics we learn into everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(1), 89–100.
- Retnawati, H., Djidu, H., Kartianom, K., Apino, E., & Anazifa, R. D. (2018). Teachers' knowledge about higher-order thinking skills and its learning strategy. *Problem of Education in the 21st Century*, 76(2), 215–230. Retrieved from <http://oaji.net/articles/2017/457-1524597598.pdf>
- Retnawati, H., & Wulandari, N. F. (2019). The development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 77(4), 502–514. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/19.77.502>
- Savaş, E., Taş, S., & Duru, A. (2010). Factors Affecting Students' Achievement in Mathematics. *Inonu University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 11(1), 113–132.
- Shiel, G., Perkins, R., Close, S., & Oldham, E. (2007). *PISA mathematics: a teacher's guide*. Dublin: Department of Education and Science. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265011126>
- Stacey, K. (2011). The PISA view of mathematical literacy in Indonesia. *Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 95–126. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1078641.pdf>
- Tambychik, T., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2010). Students' difficulties in mathematics problem-solving: what do they say? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8(5), 142–151.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.020>
- Thoren, K., Heinig, E., & Brunner, M. (2016). Relative age effects in mathematics and reading: investigating the generalizability across students, time and classes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(MAY), Article 679.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00679>
- Türkan, A., Üner, S. S., & Alcı, B. (2015). 2012 PISA matematik testi puanlarının bazı Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. *Ege Eğitim Dergisi*, 16(2), 358–372.
- Unesco. (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015: achievements and challenges. EFA Global Monitoring Report* (2nd ed.). Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Uysal, Ş. (2015). Factors affecting the mathematics achievement of Turkish students in PISA 2012. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(12), 1670–1678. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2014.2067>
- Wu, M. L., Adams, R. J., & Wilson, M. R. (1997). ConQuest: multi-aspect test software. Camberwell, Vic.: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Yavuz, H. Ç., İlgün Dibek, M., & Yalçın, S. (2017). Türk ve Vietnamlı öğrencilerin PISA 2012 matematik okuryazarlığı ile dürtü ve güdülenme özellikleri arasındaki ilişkiler. *İlköğretim Online*, 16(1), 178–196.
[https://doi.org/10.17051/10.2017.45107](https://doi.org/10.17051/10.17051/10.2017.45107)

The text must be carefully reviewed and necessary corrections made.

Accept without revision	
-------------------------	--

Accept with minor revisions	
Accept: with moderate revisions	X
Pre-Accept: major revisions and re-evaluation	
Reject: Rework and re-submit	
Reject: do NOT re-submit	

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND PROCESS

191018.pcc_RD-upo_review

Re-evaluation

Abstract

The literacy proficiency development is one of concern in education generally, so is in mathematics education as well. The growth of literacy proficiency is one of issues in education, cause it is very important to problem solving skills in students' real life. This research aimed to describe the growth of lower secondary school and upper secondary schools students' mathematical literacy proficiency in Yogyakarta Special Region province, Indonesia. Three mathematical literacy proficiency aspects were examined in the research, namely content, process and context. It was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. The population was 1,001 lower secondary school and upper-secondary schools students ranging between 13 and 16 years old were selected using the combination of stratified and the cluster random sampling technique. A test consisting of 30 items, were adopted from the existing PISA test items used to collect the data in the research. The main data analysis was conducted by estimating students' ability through the item-response theory approach. The results showed that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students based on content, context, and process were still low. In the content and context domain, there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500, and in the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Key words: mathematics literacy proficiency development, domains based on PISA study

Introduction

Advances in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have introduced new changes and challenges. Education is expected to prepare individuals with skills to deal with these changes and challenges. Many countries have realized the need to equip their young generation through education with multiple competencies. One of the students' competences that become an international issue is literacy proficiency. Literacy is one of the main competencies that learners need to face the challenges of the 21st Century (Drew, 2012). The traditional definition of literacy is ability to read and write a short simple statement about individual's life (Krasny, 2013, p. 14). Today, literacy does not only evolve into being able to read, but also about being intellectual, and knowing how to research and solve complex problems. Therefore, literacy is fundamental for individuals to be able to participate in society and achieve their goals in work and life (Unesco, 2015, pp. 136–137). In addition, literacy also has an effect on all cognitive domains (Matute et al., 2012, p. 124).

Literacy proficiency has been assessed in Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) that initiated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The objectives of PISA are to assess the students' knowledge and skills in the real world and to prepare them with the long-life learning and the community participation (Stacey, 2011, p. 105). The result from PISA might be used by the government to, for example, monitor the educational system (Retnawati & Wulandari, 2019; Stacey, 2011). There are three aspects of literacy proficiency that are measured namely reading literacy, mathematical literacy, and scientific literacy proficiency (OECD PISA, 2013).

Mathematical literacy is related to the individual proficiency in formulating, identifying, understanding and implementing the mathematical foundations in multiple contexts that an individual needs in daily life (Ojose, 2011, p. 90). It is also needed to verify the solutions to

Commented [Reviewer1]: Up to 250 words
done

Commented [Reviewer2]: Upper-secondary?
done

Commented [Reviewer3]: Incorrect
Note that a keyword does not have to be made of only one word!

Proposed Keywords are not appropriate

Google shows 23 600 000 000 results for content ..
unsearchable

done

problems that have been created (Hillman, 2014). Mathematical literacy important for students' competency to read, write, and speak about mathematics (Casey, 2013; Hillman, 2014). The result of many studies showed that mathematical literacy affected by some factors, e.g. school-level characteristics (Chowa, Masa, Ramos, & Ansong, 2015), and teachers' behaviors (Magen-Nagar, 2016, pp. 318–319) which are related to the implementation of learning in the classroom. Another factor arising from the students themselves, includes mathematics interest and self-concept (Uysal, 2015, p. 1670), grade level, gender (Magen-Nagar, 2016, p. 318), time allocated for learning mathematics (Savaş, Taş, & Duru, 2010, p. 113), learning facility at home (Türkan, Üner, & Alcı, 2015, p. 359), as well as the economic, social and cultural status (Stacey, 2011).

The mathematical literacy proficiency involves some aspect of mathematical thinking including reasoning, modelling, making connections between idea (NCCA, 2012, p. 8), mathematical concept, mathematical procedure, and mathematical fact. These aspects are central in explaining and predicting a phenomenon by emphasizing the competencies of process, content and context (OECD, 2006). The domain of the content to assess include the Change and Relationship (CR), Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD). The next domain is the context that related to Personal (PER), Occupational (OCCP), Societal (SOC), and Scientific (SC). The process competencies in the mathematical literacy proficiency are to formulate (FRM), employ (EMP), and interpret (INT).

In order to measure the context competencies, a researcher should implement several types of test items. According to Shiel, Perkins, Close, and Oldham (2007), the test item designs for the PISA assessment format are the traditional multiple-choice items, the complex multiple-choice items, the closed-constructed response items, the short-response items and the open-constructed response items." After the students' responses, have been analyzed, the individual capacity classified into 7 levels, starting from below Level 1 to Level 6. The descriptions of students' capacity at each level using the PISA classification.

Problem of Research

Based on the results of a study conducted by PISA from 2000 to 2015, it was found that only few students were able to reach level 4 or above. Meanwhile, most of them still below level 2. Some parties claimed that the low level of students' literacy proficiency showed the failure of education system organized by the government. Teachers are considered still not successful in training student literacy. But another consider that the results of the PISA study cannot be used as a basis to justify the quality of education in a country. The things that are debated are related to sampling, context, and differences in curriculum in each country. Retnawati and Wulandari (2019) described literacy proficiency development, but their research had not explained the development of literacy proficiency based on its domain, namely content, context, and process.

Although the correlation between the results of the PISA and the quality of education is still debated, the results of PISA provide an overview of the growth mathematical literacy proficiency of students in Indonesia in recent years. However, PISA results have not been able to explain the mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on its domain. In addition, students' mathematical abilities which also influence the literacy proficiency of students were very diverse (Balitbang Kemdikbud RI, 2014, 2015).

By utilizing the development of literacy proficiency in detail, educators can find out in which part of students' development that needs to be improved. Likewise, further research can be carried out, in order to ensure optimal proficiency development, for example, support for teaching materials and increasing the ability of teachers to practice literacy. Based on these problem, a research to describe the growth of mathematical literacy based on its domain was needed.

Commented [Reviewer4]: I do not see any research problem described
What is the problem? Are there any existing solutions? What is its main limitation? And what do you hope to achieve?

Actually, we had written the problem before. We had made many improvements.

Research Focus

The focus of this research was the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency. Based on the PISA international study, the literacy proficiency could be determined in three domains, that were content, process and context (OECD, 2006).

Commented [Reviewer5]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Research Aims

The aims of this research were to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on the domains of literacy, that are content, process and context domain.

Commented [Reviewer6]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Research Questions

The research questions were

1. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on content domain?
2. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on context domain?
3. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on process domain?

Commented [Reviewer7]: Research focus (or object) I do not see the research object. What was explored? After that the research aim can be stated. Later on RQs

Keep a sequence: problem, focus (object), aim + RQs

done

Research Methodology

Research Design

The research was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. Within the research, the researcher would like to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in 8th, 9th and 10th grade, especially trend in content, context, and process literacy. This research was conducted with the stages of preparing instrument by adapting items released PISA, proving the validity and estimating reliability, conduct tests to students, estimating the ability of students in the content, context, and process of mathematical literacy using the international items parameters, then presents the results of the analysis to describe the trend of development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in content, context, and process domein. The data colleting conducted in 2015, and data analysis conducted in 2016-2017.

Population and Sample

The population of the research was about 125,000 lower secondary school and secondary school students, the students ranging between 13 and 15 in the lower secondary schools and the students ranging between 15 and 16 years old in the upper secondary school in Yogyakarta Special Region Province in Indonesia. A combination of the stratified random sampling technique and the cluster random sampling technique was used to select the research participants. The sample comprised the 8th and 9th grade students from the lower secondary school and upper secondary school degree and the 10th grade students from the upper secondary school degree degree in Yogyakarta Special Region. These students came from three different levels of schools, namely the high-performance, the moderate-performance and the low-performance category. This categorization was made based on the scores in the Mathematics National Examination. A total of 1,001 students participated in the research, 464 male and 537 female, 155 students were in their 8th grade (13-14 years old), 386 students were in their 9th grade (14-15 years old), and 460 students were in their 10th grade (15-16 years old). The sample size determined by formula to estimate 95%

Commented [Reviewer8]: Previous comment was ignored. Please consistently use these terms. If you select 'research' or "study", you should exploit only one of them rather than interplayed usage. It is preferable to use the term "research"

done

Commented [Reviewer9]: Secondary is one level, usually divided into two stages: lower and upper secondary.

done

Commented [Reviewer10]: Sometimes secondary school, sometimes high school...Confusing at all

done

confidence interval for mean of students' literacy proficiency, using error 6.5 and standard deviation 100, and got minimum sample size 909.25.

When data collecting conducted, researchers informed to teachers and students that the test was conducted only for research. All of identities about students, teachers, and school were coded. The results of the test was not used for any decision about students.

Instrument

A test consisting of 30 items was used to collect the data in the research. The test items were adopted from the existing PISA test items, i.e. PISA 2003, 2007 and 2011. These items were translated into Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian language) and the contexts were also adjusted to correspond Indonesian contexts. These test items were developed and validated by Wulandari (Jailani, Retnawati, Musfiqi, & Wulandari, 2015). The validity of the test instrument was examined through the content validity that was conducted in order to identify the relevance and the representativeness of the instrument toward the domain under assessment. It involved consulting the test instrument to experts (professional judgments) in relation to the domains of content and context and the domain of process in the PISA test-item model. The domain of test item content includes the four contents (QNT, CR, SS, and UD). The content validity was also examined to identify the coverage and the relevance of the test items to the domain of context (PER, SSC, OCCP, and SSC), and the domain of process (FRM, EMP, and INT). The experts also provided feedbacks regarding the material truth, the composition of substances in each domain, the test item readability and the relevance between the test item context and the students in Indonesia.

Cronbach's α was used as a measure of the reliability of the test that took the form of essay or multiple choices with the dichotomous data. The index of reliability was .707 and the SEM was equal to 2.81. The Cronbach's α indicated the internal consistency at this level is considered reasonably high. Based on the SEM score, the researchers would like to imply that if the PISA test item model had been administered again then the score that the students would attain would be from $XT - 2.81$ until $XT + 2.81$.

Data Analysis

The students' abilities were estimated first by implementing the Rasch model in the unidimensional item response theory. The abilities were used to analyze the growth of literacy proficiency. The estimation was conducted utilize the item parameters that had been equalized into the international study test item with the concordance model for the linking score. The concordance was conducted by implementing the Mean and Mean Method. The researcher subsequently interpreted the inter-year ability literacy proficiency, especially in content, context, and process literacy.

Commented [Reviewer11]: Never start a sentence with "to"
done

Table 1. Adjustment of Ability Parameter Into the PISA International Parameter

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
Content	CR	0.935	-0.7005	1.6355	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.6355$
	QNT	0.2599	0.4768	-0.2169	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.2169$
	SS	-0.3173	-0.1807	-0.1367	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.1367$
	UD	-0.7122	-1.04188	0.3297	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3297$
Context	OCCP	-0.6751	-1.7395	1.0644	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.0644$
	PER	0.5334	0.3713	0.16214	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.1621$

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
	SC	1.441	-0.5215	1.9625	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.9625$
	SOC	-1.3221	-0.3367	-0.9855	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.9855$
Process	EMP	-0.86963	-0.92664	0.0570	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.0570$
	FRM	0.036693	-0.298	0.3347	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3347$
	INT	0.9454	0.4944	0.451	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.451$

The steps of data analysis were as follows: (1) Estimating the item parameters and the ability parameters by operating the Rasch model proposed by Masters (2010). It was applied to both the students' response from the multiple-choice test items and the dichotomous and polytomous constructed response test item. CONQUEST program was used in the analysis (Wu, Adams, & Wilson, 1997) with the calibration concurrent model for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; (2) Adjusting the test item parameters to the international test item parameters by means of Mean and Mean method (Hambleton & Swaminathan, 1985). It was conducted until the researcher attained the adjustment of the item parameter to the international scale for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade. The results of the adjustment is presented in Table 1; (3) Implementing the modification equation from the second step in order to adjust the capacity parameter for each class; (4) performing a descriptive analysis in order to present the growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; and (5) categorizing the participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model for each classroom by using the results of participants' capacity estimation.

The participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model, the student ability scale should be transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100, minimum 0 and maximum 1,000. The results of the transformation were then categorized into 7 levels that consisted of Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, Level 5 and Level 6 in accordance to the Technical Report (OECD, 2016). Based on the results of transformation and the categorization of literacy proficiency, the researcher subsequently monitored the development trend. The growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency described by referring to the standard and by considering the aspect of literacy content, context and process.

Research Results

Literacy Based on the Content

The mathematical literacy proficiency of the 10th grade students was better than that of the 8th and 9th grade students', particularly for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. The complete result shows in Figure 1. This finding showed that there was an improvement on the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. On the contrary, the 8th grade students had the highest mathematical literacy proficiency in comparison to the 9th and 10th grade students for the content of CR. This finding showed that there was a decreasing on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of CR.

Commented [Reviewer12]: Figure 1.

done




Figure 1. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content

The growth of the students' mathematical literacy in the low school level improved among the 8th, 9th and 10th grade for the content of QNT, SS, UD. This result is shown in Figure 2. However, the highest score in CR was obtained by the 8th grade students. The growth of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the moderate school tended to be unstable which implied the increasing and the decreasing proficiency in accordance with the students' grade. For example the Figure 2 shows that the literacy proficiency for the content of QNT increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade but decreased from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. Similar result was obtained for the quantity content. In the meantime, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the high school level had improved along with the students' grade for all of the PISA contents that had been administered.

Commented [Reviewer13]: In full

done

Commented [Reviewer14]: lowercases

done

Commented [Reviewer15]: I do not see measurement units

We had written in methods
 "transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100, minimum 0 and maximum 1,000"




Figure 2. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content domain, grade and school level

Commented [Reviewer16]: Grammar mistakes in this figure

We changed Fig to Figure
 Class to Grade

Overall, there was an improvement on the students' mathematical literacy in the 9th and 10th grade in accordance with the school level. The higher the school level was, the higher the mathematical literacy proficiency that the students attained. However, for the 8th grade students, the moderate school level attained the highest score in comparison to the high school level. The possible reason was that the 8th grade was the most prominent.




Figure 3. The mathematical literacy on the content of QNT

There was an improvement of the students' mathematical literacy and that the students achieved Level 1 to Level 6 for the content of QNT. There were 10% of the 9th and 10th grade students who had been able to achieve the Level 6. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT was low because most of the students only achieved the following category: Below Level 1 – Level 3. Furthermore, there were only 20% students who achieved Level 4 – Level 6. These results show in **Figure 3**.

Commented [Reviewer17]: Remove symbols %. Indicate at once – percentage on the axis
done




Figure 4. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of CR

Figure 4 shows that based on the students' grade, the mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of a CR tended to be unstable. However, there were many 8th grade students who were in the "Level 6" category compared to the 9th and 10th grade students. This result might be due to the fact that the learning material of CR is taught to students in their 8th grade.




Figure 5. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of SS

Another content that became the focus of assessment in the mathematical literacy proficiency was the content of SS. Figure 5 shows that, overall, the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in their 8th grade, 9th grade, and 10th improved along with the grade level. However, in general, the

Commented [Reviewer18]: The same

done

students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of SS were low because most of the students achieved the below level 1–level 3 category. Figure 6 shows that the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of UD from the 8th grade students until the 10th grade students improved.




Figure 6. The mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of UD

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

The contexts that had been implemented in the mathematical literacy proficiency were in accordance with the standards that had been implemented in the PISA and the contexts included the use of Mathematics in the personal life (PER), social life (SOC), occupation (OCC), and science (SC). Being adjusted to the level of ability that became the standards of PISA, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also differentiated for each domain.

Based on the Figure 7, the researchers found that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved in all contexts. The improvement was in accordance with the students' grade level. The findings showed that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region improved in accordance to the grade level.

However, in this case the 8th and the 9th grade students achieved the highest score for the SC context while the 10th grade students achieved the highest score for the OCC context. Meanwhile, for the PER and SOC context, the students achieved lower score than the other two contexts (OCC, and SC). The reason was that the PER and SOC context test items were designed under the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (the third domain of process) and under the indicator of drawing the conclusion on the mathematical results toward the contextual problems and of evaluating and providing logical reasons or arguments toward the mathematical results that had been attained. In addition, the students' ability in this domain of process was lower than their ability in the other two domains of process (FRM, and EMP).

Commented [Reviewer19]: Past simple

Research Results

- Past tense for results obtained
- Present tense to refer to figures, tables and graphs

done




Figure 7. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on the Context

Commented [Reviewer20]: The same. Measurement units remains unclear

Figure 8 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the low, moderate, and the high school level increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade for the OCC and SC context. On the contrary, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER and SOC context in the low and moderate school level decreased from the 8th to the 9th grade but increased from the 9th to the 10th grade. Then, for all contexts the students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. In general, the 9th and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased for all contexts in the low, moderate and high-level schools. The reason was that the model level school that had been sampled was the best moderate level school.

We had written in methods, all proficiency scores are in 0-1000 scale




Figure 8. Mathematical literacy proficiency based on the context, grade and the school level

Subsequently, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on each context is shown in Figure 9. The growth of grade 8 to 10 students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the OCC context. The OCC context was related to the students' life in the school or in the working environment. For the OCC context in the 8th grade, the percentage showed that the 8th grade students' ability in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region was equally distributed from the "Below Level 1" category until the "Level 5" category for the OCC context; most of the students were in "Below Level 1" category and there were very few students or there were almost none of the students who were in "Level 6" category.




Figure 9. The Level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the OCC context

The result shows in Figure 9 suggests that the improvement between the 9th grade and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency was almost similar. The number of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category were very few or near 0% and there were less than 5% of the students who were in "Level 1" category. The number of the 10th grade students who were in "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category was higher than that of the 9th grade students. The Figure showed that based on the OCC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level.

The domain of PER context had direct relationship to the students' daily activities. In the daily activities, the students definitely encountered the personal problems that demanded immediate solutions. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 10th grade students is displayed in Figure 10.




Figure 10. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the per context

Figure 10 shows that for the PER context 30% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Below Level 1” category and 10% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Level 1” category. In addition, still based on the above Figure 10 it was apparent that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of the 9th and the 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2,” “Level 3,” “Level 4” and “Level 5” category. However, in overall the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER context was still low because more than 70% of the students were still in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories and around 20% of the students were in “Level 4,” “Level 5” and “Level 6” categories.




Figure 11. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the soc context

The SOC context was related to the use of mathematical knowledge in the SOC life and the wider neighborhood in the daily life. Figure 11 shows that the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the SOC context. Figure 11 suggests that for the SOC context, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 were in "Below Level 1" category. The percentage above showed that based on the SOC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of 9th grade and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" and "Level 1" category and the increasing number of the students who were in "Level 2" category and above. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the SOC context was still low since most of the students were in "Below Level 1," "Level 1," "Level 2" and "Level 3" categories.

The SC context was related to the scientific activities that more abstract and that demanded theoretical mastery and understanding in performing the mathematical problem solution (see Figure 12). For the SC context, Figure 12 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. In addition, for the SC context more than 50% of the students in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region achieved the "Level 4," the "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category.




Fig. 12. The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the SC context

Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The students' literacy proficiency was also classified into each domain of process. The mapping of the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the domain of process is presented in Figure 13.




Figure 13. Mathematical literacy proficiency based on grade and process

The domain of process in formulating the mathematical situations (formulate) the mathematical literacy proficiency of 8th, 9th and 10th grade of the students improved along with their grade level is shown in Figure 13. Similar finding was also found in the domain of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning (EMP), and interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (INT). However, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than that in the process of formulating the mathematical situations. Similarly, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest compared to the other two domains of process. These findings implied that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical situations. However, there were also some students who might formulate the mathematical situations but they were not able to solve the mathematical situations by employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The students might also interpret, implement and evaluate the mathematical situations appropriately if they were able to formulate the mathematical situations and to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately.




Figure 14. The mathematical literacy proficiency based on process and school level

In addition to the domain of process, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy observed by the school level (i.e., low, moderate and high). The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the domain of process in each school level is presented in Figure 14. The result in Figure 14 shows that the growth of the 8th grade students had improved from the low-level school to the moderate-level school. However, the growth of the literacy proficiency had decreased in the high-level school in terms of the domain of process. In overall, mathematical literacy proficiency of the students had improved in accordance with the increasing school level and quality.




Figure 15. The mathematical literacy proficiency to formulate

Then, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was examined under each domain of process. The first domain was to formulate the mathematical situations is shown in Figure 15. The second domain was to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade until the 9th grade in the second domain is shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16. The mathematical literacy proficiency to employ

These findings showed that there had been improvement of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in accordance with their grade level. The improvement can be seen in the decreasing percentage of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and the increasing percentage of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Subsequently, the researchers examined the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the third domain of process, namely to formulate, to employ, and to interpret the mathematical results. In the Figure 17 presents the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students' in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region on the third domain of process.




Figure 17. The mathematical literacy proficiency to interpret

The percentage showed that there was improvement on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade although there were few students in "Level 5" and "Level 6". There is a decrease in the number of grade 9 students and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and there are increasing number of students who were in "Level 4," "Level 5" and "Level 6" category.

Discussion

The results of this research showed that there was an improvement of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and to the 10th grade. The higher the grade was, the higher the students' achievement in the score of mathematical literacy proficiency. These results indicate that the achievement level of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also influenced by the grade. However, the influence of age or year level was not significant. This result is in accordance with the work of Magen-Nagar (2016, p. 318); Jabor, Machtmes, Kungu, Buntat, and Nordin (2011), and also Thoren, Heinig, and Brunner (2016) who found that age and school level influenced the students' literacy proficiency. The reason is that the mathematics learning material learned by students in grades 9 and 10 as stated in the standards of the Indonesian curriculum is more comprehensive than students in grades 8 did. As a result, the higher the students' grade was the more learning materials that supported the improvement of mathematical literacy would be.

In relation to the content of mathematical literacy there was a tendency that the literacy within the content of CR and UD had been higher in comparison to the content of QNT and the content of SS. Although students' literacy in numbers, geometry, increased from grade 8 to 10, the students' ability was still in the low category. It can be seen from the small number of students in level 4 to 6. In numbers, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 could not achieve level 4. All students in year 8 could not even achieve level 2. This result shows that students in grade 8 found difficulties in formulating information in most of the test items. They could only answer questions related to geometry and numbers that have been clearly defined. The students' low ability in the geometrical content indicated their low ability in spatial skills. This is in line with previous studies, e.g. Hannafin, Truxaw, Vermillion, and Liu (2008), and Novak and Tassell (2017) that spatial abilities are directly related to mathematics ability, particularly in geometry.

The student's literacy in algebra was not significantly different among students in their year 8 to 10. This is supported by the finding from previous research by Eze, Ezenwafor, and Obi (2015, p. 99); Josiah and Adejoke (2014, p. 475) that students age is not a significant correlate of students' algebra skills. However, student's literacy in algebra is better than their literacy in numbers, geometry and uncertainty dan statistics. Most of the students' scores were above 669 (level 6). The possible reason is that algebra has been taught to students in year 8 than those in year 9 and 10.

Concerning achievement related to the process of formulating mathematical situations, of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning and of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results, there was an improvement in the students' mathematical literacy from the 8th to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. Meanwhile, in overall the students' ability in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than their ability in the process of formulating the mathematical solutions. Similarly, the students' score of mathematical literacy in the process of interpreting, employing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest one in comparison to their scores in the other two domains of process. These findings showed that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical problems. The students' low ability in the domain of interpreting shows that they were not able to infer, apply, and evaluate problem solutions. Similarly, Tambychik and Meerah (2010, p. 150) also found that students found difficulties during making meaningful connection in the problem. Furthermore, Jupri, Drijvers, and Heuvel-Panhuizen (2014, p. 51) also found that students experienced difficulties in solving problems due to their inability in applying the reverse strategy (Jupri et al., 2014, p. 51) as a step in verifying solutions to the problems before they come to a conclusion.

For the achievement related to the context, the students' score of mathematical literacy in PER and SOC context was lower than their scores in the OCC and the SC context. The reason was that within the learning process, the mathematics learning materials directed the students to understand and to master the knowledge as a preparation to pursue higher level education or to find a job. As a result, PER and SOC context had rarely been implemented in the mathematics learning materials. This made the students' find difficulties in answering questions that used the contexts. This is in line with the studies by Abdullah, Abidin, and Ali (2015, p. 140), and Aoyama (2007, p. 308) when they had to answer questions related to contexts that they have not learned before. This result suggests the need to integrate various contexts in the learning and teaching process.

The students' achievement score in mathematical literacy was influenced by the school level. There was correlation between the scores in mathematical literacy and achievement in national examination. The higher the school performance in national exams, the higher the achievements of its students of the school in mathematical literacy ability. The good input of the students, learning process and learning achievement were usually had by school that had high achievement. These findings had been in accordance with the finding from Bohlmann and Pretorius (2008), and also Chowa et al. (2015) that school-level characteristics affect academic achievement. There were many factors influenced the students' mathematical literacy ability, the score in the content of CR and of UD was higher than that in the content of QNT and of SS both based on the school grade and the school level. The possible factor was the material contents in every grade. The content of CR and of uncertainty dominated the contents in the 8th grade and the 9th grade in Indonesian Curriculum.

The research result showed that the students' mathematical literacy was unsatisfying. It should be get attention from government, teacher, and researcher. The mathematics teaching quality, including process of planning, implementing and assessing learning outcomes should support the students' mathematical literacy proficiency. Although the quality of schools affects students' ability (Chowa et al., 2015), but the quality of teaching is most important key to improve the students'

achievement in mathematical literacy (Retnawati, Djidu, Kartianom, Apino, & Anazifa, 2018). The improvement toward the learning quality and the learning assessment can be pursued through the integration of the literacy into the mathematics learning process (Hillman, 2014, p. 403), and also to the other subjects. Besides that, mathematics education programs should be developed to help students be able to make real life connections (Djidu & Retnawati, 2018; Yavuz, İlgün Dibek, & Yalçın, 2017). Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the strategies that can be used to train the students' mathematical literacy, and also the development of teaching sets to teach mathematical literacy.

Conclusions and Implications

In the content domain, specifically Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD), there were progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade, but in Change and Relationship (CR), the ability of students from 8th grade was higher than students from 9th grade and 10th grade. The percentage of students whose literacy proficiency were in categories 1-6 in 9th grade and 10th grade tent to be higher than in 8th grade, and for 8th grade were dominant in below level 1. For CR and UD, the average ability of students were approaching 600. In the context domain, the literacy ability of students in occupational and scientific contexts showed the average of students' ability in 8th, 9th, and 10th grade were relatively similar. In the personal and societal context domains, the ability of students in grades 8th, 9th, and 10th were relatively same. For grade 8th grade, students' mathematical literacy abilities related to occupational and scientific contexts were already above of international average in grades 9 and 10 that met 600. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate (FRM) showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500. In the employ and interpret process domain, there were a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Basic on the results of these studies, some further research can be conduct related to this research. The development of mathematical literacy abilities based on content, contexts and processes domains that have not been as expected, needs to be determined. The decreasing scores of students' literacy skills from 8th to 9th grade, from 9th to 10th grade also need to be known the contributing factors. The results of students' literacy scores in the domain content, context and processes that have not reach optimal scores, efforts to improve the quality of mathematics learning need to be done. Research related to improve teaching and learning that train mathematical literacy competencies, especially related to each mathematical literacy subdomain needs to be done. The assessment model to assess the development of student literacy skills for each domain also needs to be examined, so that the mathematical literacy ability of each stage can be measured. Likewise, students' difficulties in solving problems related to mathematical literacy skills also need to be described and planned how to cover it.

References

- Abdullah, A. H., Abidin, N. L. Z., & Ali, M. (2015). Analysis of students' errors in solving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) problems for the topic of fraction. *Asian Social Science*, 11(21), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n21p133>.
- Aoyama, K. (2007). Mathematics Education. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 298–318). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92048-8>
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2014). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2014. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2014). Report of National Examination 2014] [Software].

Commented [Reviewer21]: Change it

done

- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2015). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2015. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2015). Report of National Examination 2015] [Software].
- Bohlmann, C., & Pretorius, E. (2008). Relationships between mathematics and literacy: exploring some underlying factors. *Pythagoras*, 67(June), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.4102/pythagoras.v0i67.73>
- Casey, G. (2013). Interdisciplinary Literacy Through Social Media in the Mathematics Classroom: An Action Research Study. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.216>
- Chowa, G. A. N., Masa, R. D., Ramos, Y., & Ansong, D. (2015). How do student and school characteristics influence youth academic achievement in Ghana? a hierarchical linear modeling of Ghana youths' baseline data. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.09.009>
- Djidu, H., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Cultural values-integrated mathematical learning model to develop HOTS and character values. In E. Retnawati, A. Ghufron, Marzuki, Kasiyan, A. C. Pierawan, & Ashadi (Eds.), *Character Education for 21st Century Global Citizens* (pp. 363–370). Yogyakarta: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315104188-46>
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the common core state standards: preparing students for 21st-century literacy-now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(4), 321–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00145>
- Eze, T. I., Ezenwafor, J. I., & Obi, M. N. (2015). Effects of age and gender on academic achievement of vocational and technical education (VTE) students of a nigerian university. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 6(1), 96–101. Retrieved from [http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects of Age and Gender new.pdf](http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects%20of%20Age%20and%20Gender%20new.pdf)
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory*. Boston, MA: Kluwer.
- Hannafin, R. D., Truxaw, M. P., Vermillion, J. R., & Liu, Y. (2008). Effects of spatial ability and instructional program on geometry achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 101(3), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.101.3.148-157>
- Hillman, A. M. (2014). A Literature review on disciplinary literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.256>
- Jabor, M. K., Machtmes, K., Kungu, K., Buntat, Y., & Nordin, M. S. (2011). The influence of age and gender on the students' achievement in mathematics. *2011 International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*, 5, 304–308.
- Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran matematika berbasis HOTS dan pendidikan karakter. *Laporan Penelitian Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta*, Indonesia. [Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). The Development of Mathematics Teaching Material Based on Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and Character Education. *Research Report of Yogyakarta State University*, Indonesia.]
- Josiah, O., & Adejoke, E. O. (2014). Effect of gender, age and mathematics anxiety on college students' achievement in algebra. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(7), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-7-7>
- Jupri, A., Drijvers, P., & Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Van den. (2014). Student difficulties in solving equations from an operational and a structural perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 39–55.
- Krasny, K. A. (2013). *Gender and literacy: a handbook for educators and parents*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, LLC All.
- Magen-Nagar, N. (2016). The effects of learning strategies on mathematical literacy: a comparison between lower and higher achieving countries. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(2), 306–321. Retrieved from

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1105113&site=ehost-live>

- Masters, G. N. (2010). The partial credit model. In *Handbook of item response theory models* (pp. 109–122). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Matute, E., Montiel, T., Pinto, N., Rosselli, M., Ardila, A., & Zarabozo, D. (2012). Comparing cognitive performance in illiterate and literate children. *International Review of Education*, 58(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-012-9273-9>
- NCCA. (2012). *Project maths: responding to current debate*. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.ncca.ie/en/Publications/Other_Publications/Project-Maths-Responding-to-Current-Debate.pdf
- Novak, E., & Tassell, J. L. (2017). Studying preservice teacher math anxiety and mathematics performance in geometry, word, and non-word problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 54, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.01.005>
- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026407-en>
- OECD. (2016). *PISA 2015 results (Volume I): excellence and equity in education*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264266490-en>
- OECD PISA. (2013). *PISA 2012 released mathematics items*.
- Ojose, B. (2011). Mathematics literacy: Are we able to put the mathematics we learn into everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(1), 89–100.
- Retnawati, H., Djidu, H., Kartianom, K., Apino, E., & Anazifa, R. D. (2018). Teachers' knowledge about higher-order thinking skills and its learning strategy. *Problem of Education in the 21st Century*, 76(2), 215–230. Retrieved from <http://oaji.net/articles/2017/457-1524597598.pdf>
- Retnawati, H., & Wulandari, N. F. (2019). The development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 77(4), 502–514. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/19.77.502>
- Savaş, E., Taş, S., & Duru, A. (2010). Factors Affecting Students' Achievement in Mathematics. *Inonu University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 11(1), 113–132.
- Shiel, G., Perkins, R., Close, S., & Oldham, E. (2007). *PISA mathematics: a teacher's guide*. Dublin: Department of Education and Science. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265011126>
- Stacey, K. (2011). The PISA view of mathematical literacy in Indonesia. *Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 95–126. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1078641.pdf>
- Tambychik, T., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2010). Students' difficulties in mathematics problem-solving: what do they say? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8(5), 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.020>
- Thoren, K., Heinig, E., & Brunner, M. (2016). Relative age effects in mathematics and reading: investigating the generalizability across students, time and classes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(MAY), Article 679. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00679>
- Türkan, A., Üner, S. S., & Alcı, B. (2015). 2012 PISA matematik testi puanlarının bazı Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. *Ege Eğitim Dergisi*, 16(2), 358–372.
- Unesco. (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015: achievements and challenges. EFA Global Monitoring Report* (2nd ed.). Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Uysal, Ş. (2015). Factors affecting the mathematics achievement of Turkish students in PISA 2012. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(12), 1670–1678. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2014.2067>

Wu, M. L., Adams, R. J., & Wilson, M. R. (1997). *ConQuest: multi-aspect test software*. Camberwell, Vic.: Australian Council for Educational Research.

Yavuz, H. Ç., İlgün Dibeç, M., & Yalçın, S. (2017). Türk ve Vietnamlı öğrencilerin PISA 2012 matematik okuryazarlığı ile dürtü ve güdülenme özellikleri arasındaki ilişkiler. *İlköğretim Online*, 16(1), 178–196. <https://doi.org/10.17051/io.2017.45107>

The text must be carefully reviewed and necessary corrections made.

Accept without revision	
Accept with minor revisions	
Accept: with moderate revisions	X
Pre-Accept: major revisions and re-evaluation	
Reject: Rework and re-submit	
Reject: do NOT re-submit	

MASUKAN AKHIR

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND PROCESS

Jailani Jailani, Heri Retnawati

Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia

E-mail: jailani@uny.ac.id, heri_retnawati@uny.ac.id

Nidya F. Wulandari

SMPN 4 Pakem, Sleman, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: [nidyaferri@gmail.com](mailto:nidyaferry@gmail.com)

Hasan Djidu

University of 19 November Kolaka, Indonesia

E-mail: hasandjidu@gmail.com

Abstract

The literacy proficiency development is one of concerns in education generally, so is in mathematics education as well. The growth of literacy proficiency is one of the issues in education, because it is very important to problem solving skills in students' real life. This research aimed to describe the growth of lower secondary school and upper secondary school students' mathematical literacy proficiency in Yogyakarta Special Region province, Indonesia. Three mathematical literacy proficiency aspects were examined in the research, namely content, process and context. It was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. The population was 1,001 lower secondary school and upper-secondary school students ranging between 13 and 16 years old. They were selected using the combination of stratified and the cluster random sampling technique. A test consisting of 30 items, was adopted from the existing PISA test items used to collect the data in the research. The main data analysis was conducted by estimating students' ability through the item-response theory approach. The results showed that the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students based on content, context, and process was still low. In the content and context domain, there was progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500, and in the employ and interpret process domain, there was a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Keywords: *mathematics literacy proficiency development, domains based on PISA study.*

Introduction

Advances in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have introduced new changes and challenges. Education is expected to prepare individuals with skills to deal with these changes and challenges. Many countries have realized the need to equip their young generation through education with multiple competencies. One of the students' competences that has become an international issue is literacy proficiency. Literacy is one of the main competencies that learners need to face the challenges of the 21st Century (Drew, 2012). The traditional definition of literacy is the ability to read and write a short simple statement about individual's life (Krasny, 2013, p. 14). Today, literacy does not only evolve into being able to read, but also about being intellectual, and knowing how to research and solve complex problems. Therefore, literacy is fundamental for individuals to be able to participate in society and achieve their goals in work and life (Unesco,

2015, pp. 136–137). In addition, literacy also has an effect on all cognitive domains (Matute et al., 2012, p. 124).

Literacy proficiency has been assessed in Programme for International Students' Assessment (PISA) that was initiated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The objectives of PISA are to assess the students' knowledge and skills in the real world and to prepare them with the long-life learning and the community participation (Stacey, 2011, p. 105). The result from PISA might be used by the government to, for example, monitor the educational system (Retnawati & Wulandari, 2019; Stacey, 2011). There are three aspects of literacy proficiency that are measured namely as reading literacy, mathematical literacy, and scientific literacy proficiency (OECD PISA, 2013).

Mathematical literacy is related to the individual proficiency in formulating, identifying, understanding and implementing the mathematical foundations in multiple contexts that an individual needs in daily life (Ojose, 2011, p. 90). It is also needed to verify the solutions to problems that have been created (Hillman, 2014). Mathematical literacy is important for students' competency to read, write, and speak about mathematics (Casey, 2013; Hillman, 2014). The result of many studies showed that mathematical literacy is affected by some factors, e.g. school-level characteristics (Chowa, Masa, Ramos, & Ansong, 2015), and teachers' behaviors (Magen-Nagar, 2016, pp. 318–319) which are related to the implementation of learning in the classroom. Another factor arising from the students themselves, includes mathematics interest and self-concept (Uysal, 2015, p. 1670), grade level, gender (Magen-Nagar, 2016, p. 318), time allocated for learning mathematics (Savaş, Taş, & Duru, 2010, p. 113), learning facility at home (Türkan, Üner, & Alcı, 2015, p. 359), as well as the economic, social and cultural status (Stacey, 2011).

The mathematical literacy proficiency involves some aspects of mathematical thinking including reasoning, modelling, making connections between idea (NCCA, 2012, p. 8), mathematical concept, mathematical procedure, and mathematical fact. These aspects are central in explaining and predicting a phenomenon by emphasizing the competencies of process, content and context (OECD, 2006). The domain of the content to assess includes the Change and Relationship (CR), Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD). The next domain is the context that is related to Personal (PER), Occupational (OCCP), Societal (SOC), and Scientific (SC). The process competencies in the mathematical literacy proficiency are to formulate (FRM), employ (EMP), and interpret (INT).

In order to measure the context competencies, a researcher should implement several types of test items. According to Shiel, Perkins, Close, and Oldham (2007), the test item designs for the PISA assessment format are the traditional multiple-choice items, the complex multiple-choice items, the closed-constructed response items, the short-response items and the open-constructed response items." After the students' responses have been analyzed, the individual capacity was classified into 7 levels, starting from below Level 1 to Level 6. The descriptions of students' capacity are at each level using the PISA classification.

Research Problem

Based on the results of a study conducted by PISA from 2000 to 2015, it was found that only few students were able to reach level 4 or above. Meanwhile, most of them are still below level 2. Some parties claimed that the low level of students' literacy proficiency showed the failure of education system organized by the government. Teachers are considered still not successful in training student literacy. But the other consider that the results of the PISA study cannot be used as a basis to justify the quality of education in a country. The things that are debated are related to sampling, context, and differences in curriculum in each country. Retnawati and Wulandari (2019)

described literacy proficiency development, but their research had not explained the development of literacy proficiency based on its domain, namely content, context, and process.

Although the correlation between the results of the PISA and the quality of education is still debated, the results of PISA provide an overview of the growth mathematical literacy proficiency of students in Indonesia in recent years. However, PISA results have not been able to explain the mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on its domain. In addition, students' mathematical abilities which also influence the literacy proficiency of students were very diverse (Balitbang Kemdikbud RI, 2014, 2015).

By utilizing the development of literacy proficiency in detail, educators can find out in which part of the students' development that needs to be improved. Likewise, further research can be carried out, in order to ensure optimal proficiency development, for example, support for teaching materials and increasing the ability of teachers to practice literacy. Based on this problem, a research to describe the growth of mathematical literacy based on its domain was needed.

Research Focus

The focus of this research was the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency. Based on the PISA international study, the literacy proficiency could be determined in three domains, that were content, process and context (OECD, 2006).

Research Aims

The aims of this research were to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of students based on the domains of literacy, that are content, process and context domain.

Research Questions

The research questions were:

1. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on content domain?
2. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on context domain?
3. How was the growth of literacy mathematics based on process domain?

Research Methodology

Research Design

The research was an exploratory descriptive research with cross-sectional type research design. Within the research, the researcher would like to describe the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students in the 8th, 9th and 10th grade, especially the trend in content, context, and process literacy. This research was conducted with the stages of preparing instrument by adapting items released PISA, proving the validity and estimating reliability, conduct tests to students, estimating the ability of students in the content, context, and process of mathematical literacy using the international item parameters, then present the results of the analysis to describe the trend of development of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in content, context, and process domain. The data collecting was conducted in 2015, and data analysis was conducted in 2016-2017.

Population and Sample

The population of the research was about 125,000 lower secondary school and secondary school students, the students ranging between 13 and 15 in the lower secondary schools and the students ranging between 15 and 16 years old in the upper secondary schools in Yogyakarta Special Region Province in Indonesia. A combination of the stratified random sampling technique and the cluster random sampling technique was used to select the research participants. The sample comprised the 8th and 9th grade students from the lower secondary school and upper secondary school degree and the 10th grade students from the upper secondary school degree in Yogyakarta Special Region. These students came from three different levels of schools, namely the high-performance, the moderate-performance and the low-performance category. This categorization was made based on the scores in the Mathematics National Examination. A total of 1,001 students participated in the research, 464 male and 537 female, 155 students were in their 8th grade (13-14 years old), 386 students were in their 9th grade (14-15 years old), and 460 students were in their 10th grade (15-16 years old). The sample size determined by formula to estimate 95% confidence interval for mean of students' literacy proficiency, using error 6.5 and standard deviation 100, and got minimum sample size 909.25.

When data collecting was conducted, researchers informed the teachers and students that the test was conducted only for research. All of identities about students, teachers, and school were coded. The results of the test were not used for any decision about students.

Instrument

A test consisting of 30 items was used to collect the data in the research. The test items were adopted from the existing PISA test items, i.e. PISA 2003, 2007 and 2011. These items were translated into Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian language) and the contexts were also adjusted to correspond Indonesian contexts. These test items were developed and validated by Wulandari (Jailani, Retnawati, Musfiqi, & Wulandari, 2015). The validity of the test instrument was examined through the content validity that was conducted in order to identify the relevance and the representativeness of the instrument toward the domain under assessment. It involved consulting the test instrument to experts (professional judgments) in relation to the domains of content and context and the domain of process in the PISA test-item model. The domain of test item content includes the four contents (QNT, CR, SS, and UD). The content validity was also examined to identify the coverage and the relevance of the test items to the domain of context (PER, SSC, OCCP, and SSC), and to the domain of process (FRM, EMP, and INT). The experts also provided feedbacks regarding the material truth, the composition of substances in each domain, the test item readability and the relevance between the test item context and the students in Indonesia.

Cronbach's α was used as a measure of the reliability of the test that took the form of essay or multiple choice with the dichotomous data. The index of reliability was .707 and the SEM was equal to 2.81. The Cronbach's α indicated the internal consistency at this level is considered reasonably high. Based on the SEM score, the researchers would like to imply that if the PISA test item model had been administered again then the score that the students would attain would be from $XT - 2.81$ until $XT + 2.81$.

Data Analysis

The students' abilities were estimated first by implementing the Rasch model in the unidimensional item response theory. The abilities were used to analyze the growth of literacy proficiency. The estimation was conducted to utilize the item parameters that had been equalized into the international study test item with the concordance model for the linking score. The

concordance was conducted by implementing the Mean and Mean Method. The researcher subsequently interpreted the inter-year ability literacy proficiency, especially in content, context, and process literacy.

Table 1

Adjustment of ability parameter into the PISA international parameter

Domain	Sub-Domain	Mean of Difficulty Parameter		Beta	Equation of Ability Scale Conversion
		International Research	This Research		
Content	CR	0.935	-0.7005	1.6355	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.6355$
	QNT	0.2599	0.4768	-0.2169	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.2169$
	SS	-0.3173	-0.1807	-0.1367	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.1367$
	UD	-0.7122	-1.04188	0.3297	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3297$
Context	OCCP	-0.6751	-1.7395	1.0644	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.0644$
	PER	0.5334	0.3713	0.16214	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.1621$
	SC	1.441	-0.5215	1.9625	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 1.9625$
	SOC	-1.3221	-0.3367	-0.9855	$\theta_i = \theta_n - 0.9855$
Process	EMP	-0.86963	-0.92664	0.0570	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.0570$
	FRM	0.036693	-0.298	0.3347	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.3347$
	INT	0.9454	0.4944	0.451	$\theta_i = \theta_n + 0.451$

The steps of data analysis were as follows: (1) Estimating the item parameters and the ability parameters by operating the Rasch model proposed by Masters (2010). It was applied to both the students' response from the multiple-choice test items and the dichotomous and polytomous constructed response test item. CONQUEST program was used in the analysis (Wu, Adams, & Wilson, 1997) with the calibration concurrent model for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; (2) Adjusting the test item parameters to the international test item parameters by means of Mean and Mean method (Hambleton & Swaminathan, 1985). It was conducted until the researcher attained the adjustment of the item parameter to the international scale for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade. The results of the adjustment are presented in Table 1; (3) Implementing the modification equation from the second step in order to adjust the capacity parameter for each class; (4) performing a descriptive analysis in order to present the growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students for the 8th, 9th and 10th grade; and (5) categorizing the participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model for each classroom by using the results of participants' capacity estimation.

The participants' ability in accordance with the data analysis within the PISA model, the student ability scale should be transformed to a mean that was equal to 500 and the standard deviation that was equal to 100, minimum 0 and maximum 1,000. The results of the transformation were then categorized into 7 levels that consisted of Below Level 1, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, Level 5 and Level 6 in accordance to the Technical Report (OECD, 2016). Based on the results of transformation and the categorization of literacy proficiency, the researcher subsequently monitored the development trend. The growth of the mathematical literacy proficiency described by referring to the standard and by considering the aspect of literacy content, context and process.

Research Results


Literacy Based on the Content

The mathematical literacy proficiency of the 10th grade students was better than that of the 8th and 9th grade students', particularly for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. The complete result is

shown in Figure 1. This finding showed that there was an improvement on the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT, SS, and UD. On the contrary, the 8th grade students had the highest mathematical literacy proficiency in comparison to the 9th and 10th grade students for the content of CR. This finding showed that there was a decreasing on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of CR.

Figure 1


The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content



The growth of the students' mathematical literacy in the low school level improved among the 8th, 9th and 10th grade for the content of QNT, SS, UD. This result is shown in Figure 2. However, the highest score in CR was obtained by the 8th grade students. The growth of students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the moderate school tended to be unstable which implied the increasing and the decreasing proficiency in accordance with the students' grade. For example, the Figure 2 shows that the literacy proficiency for the content of QNT increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade but decreased from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. Similar result was obtained for the quantity content. In the meantime, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the high school level had improved along with the students' grade for all of the PISA contents that had been administered.

Figure 2


The mathematical literacy proficiency based on content domain, grade and school level



Overall, there was an improvement on the students' mathematical literacy in the 9th and 10th grade in accordance with the school level. The higher the school level was, the higher the mathematical literacy proficiency that the students attained. However, for the 8th grade students, the moderate school level attained the highest score in comparison to the high school level. The possible reason was that the 8th grade was the most prominent.

Figure 3

The mathematical literacy on the content of QNT



There was an improvement of the students' mathematical literacy and that the students achieved Level 1 to Level 6 for the content of QNT. There were 10% of the 9th and 10th grade students who had been able to achieve the Level 6. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy for the content of QNT was low because most of the students only achieved the following

category: Below Level 1 – Level 3. Furthermore, there were only 20% students who achieved Level 4 – Level 6. These results are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 4

The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of CR





Figure 4 shows that based on the students' grade, the mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of a CR tended to be unstable. However, there were many 8th grade students who were in the "Level 6" category compared to the 9th and 10th grade students. This result might be due to the fact that the learning material of CR is taught to students in their 8th grade.

Figure 5


The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of SS



Another content that became the focus of assessment in the mathematical literacy proficiency was the content of SS. Figure 5 shows that, overall, the mathematical literacy proficiency of students in their 8th grade, 9th grade, and 10th improved along with the grade level. However, in general, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of SS was low because most of the students achieved the below level 1–level 3 category. Figure 6 shows that the growth of mathematical literacy proficiency for the content of UD from the 8th grade students until the 10th grade students improved.

Figure 6

The mathematical literacy proficiency on the content of UD



Literacy Proficiency Based on the Context

The contexts that had been implemented in the mathematical literacy proficiency were in accordance with the standards that had been implemented in the PISA and the contexts included the use of Mathematics in the personal life (PER), social life (SOC), occupation (OCC), and science (SC). Being adjusted to the level of ability that became the standards of PISA, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also differentiated for each domain.

Based on the Figure 7, the researchers found that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved in all contexts. The improvement was in accordance with the students' grade level. The findings showed that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region improved in accordance to the grade level.

However, in this case the 8th and the 9th grade students achieved the highest score for the SC context while the 10th grade students achieved the highest score for the OCC context. Meanwhile, for the PER and SOC context, the students achieved lower score than the other two contexts (OCC, and SC). The reason was that the PER and SOC context test items were designed under the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (the third domain of process) and under the indicator of drawing the conclusion on the mathematical results toward the contextual problems and of evaluating and providing logical reasons or arguments toward the mathematical results that had been attained. In addition, the students' ability in this domain of process was lower than their ability in the other two domains of process (FRM, and EMP).

Figure 7

The mathematical literacy proficiency based on the Context





Figure 8 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the low, moderate, and the high school level increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade for the OCC and SC context. On the contrary, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER and SOC context in the low and moderate school level decreased from the 8th to the 9th grade but increased from the 9th to the 10th grade. Then, for all contexts the students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. In general, the 9th and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency increased for all

contexts in the low, moderate and high-level schools. The reason was that the model level school that had been sampled was the best moderate level school.

Figure 8


Mathematical literacy proficiency based on the context, grade and the school level



Subsequently, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on each context is shown in Figure 9. The growth of grade 8 to 10 students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the OCC context. The OCC context was related to the students' life in the school or in the working environment. For the OCC context in the 8th grade, the percentage showed that the 8th grade students' ability in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region was equally distributed from the "Below Level 1" category until the "Level 5" category for the OCC context; most of the students were in "Below Level 1" category and there were very few students or there were almost none of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Figure 9

The Level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the OCC context



The results shown in Figure 9 suggest that the improvement between the 9th grade and the 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency was almost similar. The number of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category were very few or near 0% and there were less than 5% of the students who were in "Level 1" category. The number of the 10th grade students who were in "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category was higher than that of the 9th grade students. The Figure showed that based on the OCC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level.

The domain of PER context had direct relationship to the students' daily activities. In the daily activities, the students definitely encountered the personal problems that demanded immediate solutions. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 10th grade students is displayed in Figure 10.

Figure 10

The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the per context





Figure 10 shows that for the PER context 30% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Below Level 1” category and 10% of the 8th, the 9th and the 10th grade students were in “Level 1” category. In addition, still based on the above Figure 10 it was apparent that the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of the 9th and the 10th grade students who were in “Below Level 1” category and the increasing number of the students who were in “Level 2,” “Level 3,” “Level 4” and “Level 5” category. However, in overall the students’ mathematical literacy proficiency for the PER context was still low because more than 70% of the students were still in “Below Level 1,” “Level 1,” “Level 2” and “Level 3” categories and around 20% of the students were in “Level 4,” “Level 5” and “Level 6” categories.

Figure 11

The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the soc context




The SOC context was related to the use of mathematical knowledge in the SOC life and the wider neighborhood in the daily life. Figure 11 shows that the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was based on the SOC context. Figure 11 suggests that for the SOC context, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 were in "Below Level 1" category. The percentage above showed that based on the SOC context the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade. The improvement was found in the decreasing number of 9th grade and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" and "Level 1" category and the increasing number of the students who were in "Level 2" category and above. However, in general the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the SOC context was still low since most of the students were in "Below Level 1," "Level 1," "Level 2" and "Level 3" categories.

The SC context was related to the scientific activities that were more abstract and that demanded theoretical mastery and understanding in performing the mathematical problem solution (see Figure 12). For the SC context, Figure 12 shows that the students' mathematical literacy proficiency improved from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. In addition, for the SC context more than 50% of the students in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region achieved the "Level 4," the "Level 5" and the "Level 6" category.

Figure 12

The level of mathematical literacy proficiency on the SC context




Literacy Proficiency Based on the Process

The students' literacy proficiency was also classified into each domain of process. The mapping of the 8th, 9th and 10th grade students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the domain of process is presented in Figure 13.

Figure 13


Mathematical literacy proficiency based on grade and process



The domain of process in formulating the mathematical situations (formulate) the mathematical literacy proficiency of 8th, 9th and 10th grade of the students improved along with their grade level shown in Figure 13. Similar finding was also found in the domain of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning (EMP), and interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results (INT). However, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than that in the process of formulating the mathematical situations. Similarly, the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in the process of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest compared to the other two domains of process. These findings implied that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical situations. However, there were also some students who might formulate the mathematical situations, but they were not able to solve the mathematical situations by employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The students might also interpret, implement and evaluate the mathematical situations appropriately if they were able to formulate the mathematical situations and to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately.

Figure 14


The mathematical literacy proficiency based on process and school level



In addition to the domain of process, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy observed by the school level (i.e., low, moderate and high). The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency for the domain of process in each school level is presented in Figure 14. The result in Figure 14 shows that the growth of the 8th grade students had improved from the low-level school to the moderate-level school. However, the growth of the literacy proficiency had decreased in the high-level school in terms of the domain of process. In overall, mathematical literacy proficiency of the students had improved in accordance with the increasing school level and quality.

Figure 15


The mathematical literacy proficiency to formulate



Then, the growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was examined under each domain of process. The first domain was to formulate the mathematical situations is shown in Figure 15. The second domain was to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning. The growth of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade until the 9th grade in the second domain is shown in Figure 16.

Figure 16

The mathematical literacy proficiency to employ




These findings showed that there had been improvement of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency in accordance with their grade level. The improvement can be seen in the decreasing percentage of the 9th grade and the 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and the increasing percentage of the students who were in "Level 6" category.

Subsequently, the researchers examined the students' mathematical literacy proficiency based on the third domain of process, namely, to formulate, to employ, and to interpret the mathematical results. Figure 17 presents the mathematical literacy proficiency of the students' in the Province of Yogyakarta Special Region on the third domain of process.

Figure 17

The mathematical literacy proficiency to interpret



The percentage showed that there was improvement on the students' mathematical literacy proficiency from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and from the 9th grade to the 10th grade although there were few students in "Level 5" and "Level 6". There is a decrease in the number of grade 9 students and 10th grade students who were in "Below Level 1" category and there are increasing number of students who were in "Level 4," "Level 5" and "Level 6" category.

Discussion

The results of this research showed that there was an improvement of mathematical literacy proficiency of the students from the 8th grade to the 9th grade and to the 10th grade. The higher the grade was, the higher the students' achievement in the score of mathematical literacy proficiency. These results indicate that the achievement level of the students' mathematical literacy proficiency was also influenced by the grade. However, the influence of age or year level was not significant. This result is in accordance with the work of Magen-Nagar (2016, p. 318); Jabor, Machtmes, Kungu, Buntat, and Nordin (2011), and also Thoren, Heinig, and Brunner (2016) who found that age and school level influenced the students' literacy proficiency. The reason is that the mathematics learning material learned by students in grades 9 and 10 as stated in the standards of the Indonesian curriculum is more comprehensive than students in grades 8 did. As a result, the higher the students' grade was the more learning materials that supported the improvement of mathematical literacy would be.

In relation to the content of mathematical literacy there was a tendency that the literacy within the content of CR and UD had been higher in comparison to the content of QNT and the content of SS. Although students' literacy in numbers, geometry, increased from grade 8 to 10, the students' ability was still in the low category. It can be seen from the small number of students in level 4 to 6. In numbers, most of the students in grade 8 to 10 could not achieve level 4. All students in year 8 could not even achieve level 2. This result shows that students in grade 8 found difficulties in formulating information in most of the test items. They could only answer questions related to geometry and numbers that have been clearly defined. The students' low ability in the geometrical content indicated their low ability in spatial skills. This is in line with previous studies, e.g. Hannafin, Truxaw, Vermillion, and Liu (2008), and Novak and Tassell (2017) that spatial abilities are directly related to mathematics ability, particularly in geometry.

The student's literacy in algebra was not significantly different among students in their year 8 to 10. This is supported by the finding from previous research by Eze, Ezenwafor, and Obi (2015, p. 99); Josiah and Adejoke (2014, p. 475) that students age is not a significant correlate of students' algebra skills. However, student's literacy in algebra is better than their literacy in numbers, geometry and uncertainty dan statistics. Most of the students' scores were above 669 (level 6). The possible reason is that algebra has been taught to students in year 8 than those in year 9 and 10.

Concerning achievement related to the process of formulating mathematical situations, of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning and of interpreting, implementing and evaluating the mathematical results, there was an improvement in the students' mathematical literacy from the 8th to the 9th grade and from the 9th to the 10th grade in accordance with the students' grade level. Meanwhile, in overall the students' ability in the process of employing the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning was lower than their ability in the process of formulating the mathematical solutions. Similarly, the students' score of mathematical literacy in the process of interpreting, employing and evaluating the mathematical results was the lowest one in comparison to their scores in the other two domains of process. These findings showed that the students were likely able to employ the mathematical concepts, facts, procedures and reasoning appropriately if they could formulate the mathematical problems. The students' low ability in the domain of interpreting shows that they were not able to infer, apply, and evaluate problem solutions. Similarly, Tambychik and Meerah (2010, p. 150) also found that students found difficulties during making meaningful connection in the problem. Furthermore, Jupri, Drijvers, and Heuvel-Panhuizen (2014, p. 51) also found that students experienced difficulties in solving problems due to their inability in applying the reverse strategy (Jupri et al., 2014, p. 51) as a step in verifying solutions to the problems before they come to a conclusion.

For the achievement related to the context, the students' score of mathematical literacy in PER and SOC context was lower than their scores in the OCC and the SC context. The reason was that within the learning process, the mathematics learning materials directed the students to understand and to master the knowledge as a preparation to pursue higher level education or to find a job. As a result, PER and SOC context had rarely been implemented in the mathematics learning materials. This made the students find difficulties in answering questions that used the contexts. This is in line with the studies by Abdullah, Abidin, and Ali (2015, p. 140), and Aoyama (2007, p. 308) when they had to answer questions related to contexts that they have not learned before. This result suggests the need to integrate various contexts in the learning and teaching process.

The students' achievement score in mathematical literacy was influenced by the school level. There was correlation between the scores in mathematical literacy and achievement in national examination. The higher the school performance in national exams, the higher the achievements of its students of the school in mathematical literacy ability. The good input of the students, learning process and learning achievement were usually heard by school that had high achievement. These findings had been in accordance with the findings from Bohlmann and Pretorius (2008), and also Chowa et al. (2015) that school-level characteristics affect academic achievement. There were many factors which influenced the students' mathematical literacy ability, the score in the content of CR and of UD was higher than that in the content of QNT and of SS both based on the school grade and the school level. The possible factor was the material content in every grade. The content of CR and of uncertainty dominated the contents in the 8th grade and the 9th grade in Indonesian Curriculum.

The research results showed that the students' mathematical literacy was unsatisfying. It should get attention from government, teacher, and researcher. The mathematics teaching quality, including process of planning, implementing and assessing learning outcomes should support the students' mathematical literacy proficiency. Although the quality of schools affects students' ability (Chowa et al., 2015), but the quality of teaching is the most important key to improve the students' achievement in mathematical literacy (Retnawati, Djidu, Kartianom, Apino, & Anazifa, 2018). The

improvement toward the learning quality and the learning assessment can be pursued through the integration of the literacy into the mathematics learning process (Hillman, 2014, p. 403), and also to the other subjects. Besides that, mathematics education programs should be developed to help students be able to make real life connections (Djidu & Retnawati, 2018; Yavuz, İlgün Dibek, & Yalçın, 2017). Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the strategies that can be used to train the students' mathematical literacy, and also the development of teaching sets to teach mathematical literacy.

Conclusions and Implications

In the content domain, specifically Shape and Space (SS), Quantity (QNT), and Uncertainty and Data (UD), there was progress from 8th grade to 9th, from 9th grade to 10th grade, but in Change and Relationship (CR), the ability of students from 8th grade was higher than students from 9th grade and 10th grade. The percentage of students whose literacy proficiency was in categories 1-6 in 9th grade and 10th grade tends to be higher than in 8th grade, and for 8th grade was dominant in below level 1. For CR and UD, the average ability of students was approaching 600. In the context domain, the literacy ability of students in occupational and scientific contexts showed the average of students' ability in 8th, 9th, and 10th grade was relatively similar. In the personal and societal context domains, the ability of students in grades 8th, 9th, and 10th was relatively the same. For the 8th grade, students' mathematical literacy abilities related to occupational and scientific contexts were already above the international average in grades 9 and 10 that met 600. In the process domain, the development of students' abilities on formulate (FRM) showed relatively the same results for 8th, 9th, and 10th were around 500. In the employ and interpret process domain, there was a development of abilities from 8th to 9th, and from 9th to 10th grade.

Based on the results of these studies, some further research can be conducted related to this research. The development of mathematical literacy abilities based on content, contexts and processes domains that have not been as expected, needs to be determined. The decreasing scores of students' literacy skills from 8th to 9th grade, from 9th to 10th grade also need to be known as the contributing factors. The results of students' literacy scores in the domain of content, context and processes that have not reached optimal scores, efforts to improve the quality of mathematics learning need to be done. Research related to improve teaching and learning that train mathematical literacy competencies, especially related to each mathematical literacy subdomain needs to be done. The assessment model to assess the development of student literacy skills for each domain also needs to be examined, so that the mathematical literacy ability of each stage can be measured. Likewise, students' difficulties in solving problems related to mathematical literacy skills also need to be described and planned how to cover it.

References

- Abdullah, A. H., Abidin, N. L. Z., & Ali, M. (2015). Analysis of students' errors in solving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) problems for the topic of fraction. *Asian Social Science*, 11(21), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n21p133>.
- Aoyama, K. (2007). Mathematics Education. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 298–318). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92048-8>
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2014). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2014. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2014). Report of National Examination 2014] [Software].
- Balitbang Kemdikbud RI. (2015). Laporan hasil ujian nasional 2015. [Research and Development Board, Ministry of Education, Republik Indonesia. (2015). Report of National Examination 2015] [Software].
- Bohlmann, C., & Pretorius, E. (2008). Relationships between mathematics and literacy: exploring some underlying factors. *Pythagoras*, 67(June), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.4102/pythagoras.v0i67.73>

- Casey, G. (2013). Interdisciplinary Literacy Through Social Media in the Mathematics Classroom: An Action Research Study. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.216>
- Chowa, G. A. N., Masa, R. D., Ramos, Y., & Ansong, D. (2015). How do student and school characteristics influence youth academic achievement in Ghana? a hierarchical linear modeling of Ghana youths baseline data. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.09.009>
- Djidu, H., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Cultural values-integrated mathematical learning model to develop HOTS and character values. In E. Retnowati, A. Ghufron, Marzuki, Kasiyan, A. C. Pierawan, & Ashadi (Eds.), *Character Education for 21st Century Global Citizens* (pp. 363–370). Yogyakarta: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315104188-46>
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the common core state standards: preparing students for 21st-century literacy-now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(4), 321–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00145>
- Eze, T. I., Ezenwafor, J. I., & Obi, M. N. (2015). Effects of age and gender on academic achievement of vocational and technical education (VTE) students of a Nigerian university. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 6(1), 96–101. Retrieved from [http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects of Age and Gender new.pdf](http://jeteraps.scholarlinkresearch.com/articles/Effects%20of%20Age%20and%20Gender%20new.pdf)
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory*. Boston, MA: Kluwer.
- Hannafin, R. D., Truxaw, M. P., Vermillion, J. R., & Liu, Y. (2008). Effects of spatial ability and instructional program on geometry achievement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 101(3), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.101.3.148-157>
- Hillman, A. M. (2014). A Literature review on disciplinary literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.256>
- Jabor, M. K., Machtmes, K., Kungu, K., Buntat, Y., & Nordin, M. S. (2011). The influence of age and gender on the students' achievement in mathematics. *2011 International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*, 5, 304–308.
- Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran matematika berbasis HOTS dan pendidikan karakter. *Laporan Penelitian Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia*. [Jailani, J., Retnawati, H., Musfiqi, S., & Wulandari, N. F. (2015). The Development of Mathematics Teaching Material Based on Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and Character Education. *Research Report of Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia*.]
- Josiah, O., & Adejoke, E. O. (2014). Effect of gender, age and mathematics anxiety on college students' achievement in algebra. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(7), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-7-7>
- Jupri, A., Drijvers, P., & Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Van den. (2014). Student difficulties in solving equations from an operational and a structural perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 39–55.
- Krasny, K. A. (2013). *Gender and literacy: a handbook for educators and parents*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, LLC All.
- Magen-Nagar, N. (2016). The effects of learning strategies on mathematical literacy: a comparison between lower and higher achieving countries. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(2), 306–321. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1105113&site=ehost-live>
- Masters, G. N. (2010). The partial credit model. In *Handbook of item response theory models* (pp. 109–122). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Matute, E., Montiel, T., Pinto, N., Rosselli, M., Ardila, A., & Zarabozo, D. (2012). Comparing cognitive performance in illiterate and literate children. *International Review of Education*, 58(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-012-9273-9>
- NCCA. (2012). *Project maths: responding to current debate*. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.ncca.ie/en/Publications/Other_Publications/Project-Maths-Responding-to-Current-Debate.pdf

URLs are no longer preceded by “Retrieved from,” unless a retrieval date is needed. The website name is included (unless it’s the same as the author), and web page titles are italicized (APA 7th.ed)

- Novak, E., & Tassell, J. L. (2017). Studying preservice teacher math anxiety and mathematics performance in geometry, word, and non-word problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences, 54*, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.01.005>
- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026407-en>
- OECD. (2016). *PISA 2015 results (Volume I): excellence and equity in education*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264266490-en>

OECD PISA. (2013). *PISA 2012 released mathematics items*.

incomplete ... add publisher or URL

- Ojose, B. (2011). Mathematics literacy: Are we able to put the mathematics we learn into everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education, 4*(1), 89–100.
- Retnawati, H., Djidu, H., Kartianom, K., Apino, E., & Anazifa, R. D. (2018). Teachers’ knowledge about higher-order thinking skills and its learning strategy. *Problem of Education in the 21st Century, 76*(2), 215–230. **Retrieved from** <http://oaji.net/articles/2017/457-1524597598.pdf>
- Retnawati, H., & Wulandari, N. F. (2019). The development of students’ mathematical literacy proficiency. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century, 77*(4), 502–514. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/19.77.502>
- Savaş, E., Taş, S., & Duru, A. (2010). Factors affecting students’ achievement in mathematics. *Inonu University Journal of the Faculty of Education, 11*(1), 113–132.
- Shiel, G., Perkins, R., Close, S., & Oldham, E. (2007). *PISA mathematics: a teacher’s guide*. Dublin: Department of Education and Science. **Retrieved from** <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265011126>
- Stacey, K. (2011). The PISA view of mathematical literacy in Indonesia. *Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education, 2*(2), 95–126. **Retrieved from** <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1078641.pdf>
- Tambychik, T., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2010). Students’ difficulties in mathematics problem-solving: what do they say? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 8*(5), 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.020>
- Thoren, K., Heinig, E., & Brunner, M. (2016). Relative age effects in mathematics and reading: investigating the generalizability across students, time and classes. *Frontiers in Psychology, 7*(MAY), Article 679. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00679>
- Türkan, A., Üner, S. S., & Alcı, B. (2015). 2012 PISA matematik testi puanlarının bazı Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. *Ege Eğitim Dergisi, 16*(2), 358–372.**

Please change to meet the APA requirements: "If the original version of a non-English article is used as the source, cite the original version: Give the original title, followed by the English translation in brackets."

- Unesco. (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015: achievements and challenges. EFA Global Monitoring Report* (2nd ed.). Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Uysal, Ş. (2015). Factors affecting the mathematics achievement of Turkish students in PISA 2012. *Educational Research and Reviews, 10*(12), 1670–1678. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2014.2067>
- Wu, M. L., Adams, R. J., & Wilson, M. R. (1997). *ConQuest: multi-aspect test software*. Camberwell, Vic.: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Yavuz, H. Ç., İlgün Dibek, M., & Yalçın, S. (2017). Türk ve Vietnamlı öğrencilerin PISA 2012 matematik okuryazarlığı ile dürtü ve güdülenme özellikleri arasındaki ilişkiler. *İlköğretim Online, 16*(1), 178–196. <https://doi.org/10.17051/io.2017.45107>**

Please change to meet the APA requirements: "If the original version of a non-English article is used as the source, cite the original version: Give the original title, followed by the English translation in brackets."

Received: September 08, 2019

Accepted: January 00, 2020

<i>Jailani Jailani</i>	Associate Professor, Lecturer & Researcher, Mathematics Education Department, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Jl. Colombo No. 1, Karangmalang, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia. E-mail: jailani@uny.ac.id Website: https://scholar.google.co.id/citations?hl=id&user=qw0wE8wAAAAJ
<i>Heri Retnawati</i>	Professor, Lecturer & Researcher, Mathematics Education Department, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Jl. Colombo No. 1, Karangmalang, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia. E-mail: heri_retnawati@uny.ac.id Website: http://staffnew.uny.ac.id/staff/132255129 ; https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=7CzPTYIAAAAJ&hl=en ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1792-5873
<i>Nidya F. Wulandari</i>	Master, Alumnae, Mathematics Education Department, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta Master, Teacher, SMPN 4 Pakem, Sleman, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia. E-mail: nidyaferri@gmail.com Website: https://scholar.google.co.id/citations?user=TCH-r5AAAAAJ&hl=en
<i>Hasan Djidu</i>	Assistant Professor, Lecturer & Researcher, Mathematics Education Department, Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka, Jl. Pemuda, Kolaka, South-east Sulawesi, Indonesia. E-mail: hasandjidu@gmail.com Website: https://scholar.google.co.id/citations?user=PSAwkTYAAAAJ&hl=id ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1110-6815